1	MEETING
2	CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Kenneth L. Maddy Equine Analytical Chemistry Lab
10	University of California, Davis
11	Davis, California
12	Friday, November 30, 2001
13	9:30 a.m.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Reported by WENDY E. ARLEN, CSR #4355, RMR, CRR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT
2	ALAN W. LANDSBURG, Chairman
3	ROGER H. LICHT, Vice Chairman
4	WILLIAM A. BIANCO, Member
5	SHERYL L. GRANZELLA, Member
6	JOHN C. HARRIS, Member
7	MARIE G. MORETTI, Member
8	
9	ALSO PRESENT
10	ROY C. WOOD, Executive Director
11	THOMAS A. BLAKE, Deputy Attorney General
12	JACKIE WAGNER, Staff member
1,3	JOHN REAGAN, Staff member
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		
3		PAGE
4	Introductory remarks by Executive Director Wood	6
5	Introductory remarks by Dean Osborne	7
6		
7	Action Item 1 - Approval of minutes of the regular meeting	9
8	Action Item 2 Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing of the	10
9	Los Alamitos Quarter Horse Racing Association	
10	Action Item 3 - Public Hearing on the	12
11	adoption of the proposed regulatory amendment to CHRB Rule 1467 -	
12	Paymaster of Purses	
13 14	Action Item 4 - Public Hearing on the adoption of the proposed regulatory amendment to CHRB Rule 1691 -	19
	Colors and Numbers	
15	Action Item 5 - Public hearing of the	40
16	adoption of the proposed regulatory amendment to CHRB Rule 1844 -	
17	Authorized Medication	
18	Action Item 6 - Public hearing of the adoption of the proposed regulatory	42
19	amendment to CHRB Rule 1858 - Test Sample Required	
20		4 5
21	Action Item 7 - public hearing on the adoption of the proposed addition of Article 26, Advance Deposit	45
22	Wagering	
23	Rule 2070 - Definitions	46
24	Rule 2071 - License to Conduct Advance Deposit Wagering by a	53
25	California applicant	

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3		
4	Rule 2072 - Approval to Conduct Advance Deposit Wagering by an Out-of-State Applicant	56
5		<i>c</i> 1
6	Rule 2073 - Operation of an Advance Deposit Wagering Account for All Entities	61
7		
8	Rule 2074 - Requirements to Establish an Advance Deposit Wagering Account with a California entity	66
9	Rule 2075 - Requirements to Establish	67
10	an Advance Deposit Wagering Account with an Out-of-State Hub	07
11	Rule 2076 - Deposits to an Advance	67
12	Deposit Wagering Account with all Entities	07
13	Rule 2077 - Placing an Advance	68
14	Deposit Wager with all Entities	00
15	Rule 2078 - Withdrawals from a	68
16	Advance Deposit Wagering Account with all Entities	
17	Rule 2079 - Credit for Winning Wagers and Scratched Entries	69
18	Rule 2080 - Proceeds from a Deceased	71
19	Account Holder	, ±
20	Rule 2081 - Market Access Fee for Wagers Placed by a California	71
21	Resident	
22	Rule 2082 - Interest Bearing Accounts	72
23	Action Item 8 - Discussion and action by the Board on the report from	85
24	the SCOTWINC Off-Site Stabling and Vanning Fund Committee	
25		

1	INDEX		
2		PAGE	
3	Total Thomas O. Dinamanian and anti-	0.4	
4	Action Item 9 - Discussion and action by the Board on the request of the Southern California Off-Track	94	
5	Wagering, Inc., to adjust off-site stabling and vanning takeout		
6	percentage		
7	Action Item 10 - withdrawn	96	
8	Action Item 11 - Report from the University of California, Davis,	96	
9	School of Veterinary Medicine on the Equine Postmortem Program		
10	Action Item 12 - Report and update on	122	
11	the Toe Grab Study	122	
12	Action Item 13 - Staff report on the concluded race meets	137	
13	Report from Stewards' Committee by	138	
14	Commissioner Bianco	130	
15	Adjournment to Executive Session	140	
16	Reporter's Certificate	141	
17	-		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 --000--

EXCERPT	

- 3 --000--
- 4 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: Good morning, ladies
- 5 and gentlemen. I'd like to welcome you to the regular
- 6 scheduled meeting of the California Horse Racing Board.
- 7 It's being conducted on November the 30th, 2001, and
- 8 this meeting is being conducted at the Kenneth L. Maddy
- 9 Equine Analytical Chemistry Laboratory at the University
- 10 of California at Davis in Davis, California.
- 11 Present at today's meeting are the chairman of
- 12 the California Horse Racing Board Mr. Al Landsburg, Vice
- 13 Chairman Mr. Roger Licht, Commissioner William Bianco,
- 14 Commissioner John Harris, and Commissioner Marie
- 15 Moretti. We know that Mrs. Sheryl Granzella is en route
- 16 and will be here as the meeting progresses. So we will
- 17 note that for the record.
- 18 Before we go forward at this morning's meeting,
- 19 I would ask that if you want to give testimony before
- 20 this board that you would please state your name and
- 21 affiliation for the court reporter. If you have a
- 22 business card to provide her, it would be appreciated.
- 23 And with that I'm going to turn the meeting over to our
- chairman, Mr. Alan Landsburg.
- 25 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. I would like

- 1 to offer our thanks to the dean of the school of U. C.
- 2 Davis veterinary medicine school, Benny Osborne, and ask
- 3 him to come up for a moment to say a few words and
- 4 welcome us here.
- 5 DR. OSBORNE: Thank you, Mr. Landsburg and
- 6 Executive Director Woods, Commissioners, ladies and
- 7 gentlemen. On behalf of the School of Veterinary
- 8 Medicine at U. C. Davis, we welcome all of you here
- 9 today.
- 10 We have had a longstanding relationship with
- 11 the equine industry and it's one which we have been very
- 12 pleased to be partnering with you on a number of
- 13 different occasions. I'd like to just take a moment and
- 14 review for you some of the things that we have here that
- 15 speak particularly to things relating to the equine
- 16 industry.
- 17 First of all, we have our Center for Equine
- 18 Health, and this program is one which Dr. Greg Ferraro
- 19 heads up, and as director of that program, he has
- 20 steered it into areas that address particular problems
- of the equine industry. And this is handled through a
- 22 competitive grant program that both our faculty and
- 23 faculty of California State University system
- 24 participate in. We think it's been a very successful
- 25 program, addressed many of the issues which have come

- 1 forward from you and others.
- We also a few years ago took on the
- 3 responsibility of the equine analytical chemistry
- 4 laboratory. This is a program which is now under our
- 5 California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory.
- 6 Dr. Alex Ardans is director of the program here, and
- 7 this program is one in which we're very proud to have
- 8 you here today in the facilities that Senator Ken Maddy
- 9 actually brought to the forefront and allowed us to
- 10 participate in this program.
- 11 This is the premiere laboratory in the world
- 12 for equine drug testing and it's one which we are very
- 13 proud to have on this campus and to be able to partner
- 14 with you and others in carrying out some of the work
- 15 that is required for equine and performance horse
- 16 industries.
- 17 So we hope you have the opportunity to take a
- 18 tour of the facilities later on today. We're very
- 19 pleased to have you here, and we want to thank you for
- 20 coming to this campus and being our guests here,
- 21 allowing us to host you here today. So with that, I'll
- turn the meeting back to you and thank you very much.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you, Dean Osborne.
- 24 Having taken that tour yesterday as a part of the
- 25 education of commissioners of horse racing, I think that

1 it is all of our duties and all of our responsibilities

- 2 to see that the information which is gathered here, the
- 3 manner in which it's gathered and the possibility of
- 4 further educating our owners, our trainers, our jockeys
- 5 and all of those people involved in racing that we find
- 6 a way to make their work known on a wider scale, and
- 7 I'll be discussing it with some of you at breaks here to
- 8 try and get your support for that kind of educational
- 9 project. Thank you.
- 10 Now, to the minutes. The first item for action
- is approval of the minutes of the regular board meeting
- of October 19th. Do we have any corrections?
- 13 I have one correction to the minutes. Page
- 14 four of the minutes in the discussion of action on the
- 15 report from the SCOTWINC Off-Site Stabling and Vanning
- 16 Fund Committee. In lines four and six of that paragraph
- on page four, please change TOC to SCOTWINC. In line
- 18 four as well as line six, TOC should be changed to
- 19 SCOTWINC.
- 20 Are there any other changes?
- I'll entertain a motion then to accept the
- 22 amended minutes.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: I'll move to approve the
- 24 minutes with the changes that the chairman mentioned.
- 25 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Seconded?

```
1 COMMISSION BIANCO: Second.
```

- 2 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. All in favor?
- 3 (Ayes.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: The minutes are accepted
- 5 and we will move on to item two. Discussion and action
- 6 by the board on application for license to conduct the
- 7 horse racing meeting of the Los Alamitos Quarter Horse
- 8 Racing Meeting at Los Alamitos commencing December 28,
- 9 2001, through December 22nd 2002, inclusive.
- 10 Can we have the staff report?
- 11 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The
- 12 application before is a from the Los Alamitos Quarter
- 13 Horse Racing Association. They are proposing to race
- 14 December 28th, 2001, through December 22nd, 2002, which
- is 203 days. They are proposing to race a total of
- 16 2,040 races or 10 races per day. They meet the 10
- 17 percent requirement of stakes, purse paid for Cal breds.
- 18 There will be racing four nights per week. Their first
- 19 live post will be 7:15 p.m. on Thursday and Friday with
- a 6:30 p.m. post on Saturday and a 5:30 p.m. post on
- 21 Sunday. The wagering program will utilize CHRB rules.
- 22 We have received the horseman's agreement. Staff would
- 23 recommend that the board adopt the application as
- 24 presented.
- 25 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there any discussion of

- 1 this request?
- 2 MR. BLANIAN: Rod Blanian representing Los
- 3 Alamitos Quarter Horse Racing Association.
- 4 We would just like to bring to the attention of
- 5 the board that Attachment F to the horseman's agreement
- 6 is, well, Attachment F to our license application is the
- 7 horseman's agreement, and the horseman's agreement
- 8 indicates that we make the harness signal from
- 9 Sacramento conditioned on the harness association
- 10 agreeing to pay the impact fees pursuant to the 1996
- 11 agreement, and there is an issue before the
- 12 administrative law judge on this, and I just wanted the
- 13 board to be aware of that.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Are we waiting then for
- 15 the administrative law judge to give us a reading?
- MR. BLANIAN: Yes, we are, but it should not
- 17 hold up this application.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Any comment to that from
- 19 our audience or board? Then entertain a motion to
- 20 accept the recommended application for license to
- 21 conduct the horse racing meeting at Los Alamitos Quarter
- 22 Horse Racing Association. Do I have such a motion?
- MS. GRANZELLA: I'll move.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: So moved. Second?
- 25 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Seconded.

1 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Seconded. All in favor?

- 2 (Ayes.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 4 Then the board has approved the application for
- 5 licensing at the horse racing meeting at Los Alamitos
- 6 Quarter Horse Racing Association.
- 7 Next item on our agenda, public hearing on the
- 8 adoption of the proposed regulatory amendment to CHRB
- 9 Rule 1467, Paymaster of Purses, require the paymaster to
- 10 disburse 10 percent of the purse money earned on any
- 11 thoroughbred that finishes first, second or third to the
- 12 trainer of the horse. Jackie?
- 13 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The
- 14 proposed amendment to Rule 1467 will require that the 10
- 15 percent of the purse money earned on a horse that
- 16 finishes first, second or third at a thoroughbred race
- 17 meeting be deposited into the trainer's account. The
- 18 amendment will also allow horse owners to opt out of
- 19 that payment plan by submitting a written notification
- 20 to the paymaster not to deduct the 10 percent.
- 21 The rule has been noticed for 45 days to the
- 22 public. We have received no comments on the proposal,
- and staff would recommend that the board adopt the
- 24 amendment as presented.
- 25 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there any discussion or

- 1 comment on this?
- 2 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I just wanted to clarify
- 3 that if an owner opts out as a given race meeting, is
- 4 that like forever or just that year or how would that
- 5 work?
- 6 MS. WAGNER: That would be for the race
- 7 meeting.
- 8 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Would you have to do it
- 9 again the next year?
- 10 MS. WAGNER: You know, I believe that you
- 11 would, but that has not been really finalized, the paper
- 12 work that they would fill out --
- 13 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: The paper work looks to
- 14 me like once you opt out, you're out.
- 15 MS. WAGNER: You're opted out until you receive
- 16 notification that you want to opt in. It would extend
- 17 to the next race.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. Where does
- 19 that opt in come from, Jackie?
- 20 MS. WAGNER: It's automatically deducted unless
- 21 the owner sends a paper that they do not want to
- 22 participate.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: The owner would not be
- 24 notified of that condition? In other words, I've opted
- out and feel I don't want it.

- 1 MS. WAGNER: That money will not be deducted.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Fine. That's for that
- 3 meet. Whether it be a fair meet, whether it be any of
- 4 the meets that occur and each time an opt out must be
- 5 written by the owner? I'd just like that clarified.
- 6 MS. WAGNER: Yes.
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Each time each meeting.
- 8 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: It would carry forward to
- 9 the next year, though.
- 10 MS. WAGNER: It would carry forward.
- 11 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Also, are the fairs
- 12 considered one meeting? If the owner opted out at the
- 13 fairs, is that one paymaster that does all the fairs or
- 14 how does that work?
- 15 MS. WAGNER: The fairs would be considered one
- 16 meeting.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Jack, I didn't hear what
- 18 you said.
- 19 MR. LIEBAU: Jack Liebau. The fairs, at least
- 20 as far as the San Mateo County Fair has a separate
- 21 paymaster because the Ferndale meet is being handled or
- 22 operated concurrently.
- 23 COMMISSION WOOD: I think, Mr. Chairman, it was
- 24 the intention when the discussion on the regulation was
- 25 first brought about that the fairs would be individual

- 1 race meets because there are individual entities
- 2 involved in operation of fairs.
- 3 I also believe that once you decided to opt out
- 4 at Bay Meadows you would opt out for Bay Meadows for
- 5 their meets and that you didn't have to reapply at the
- 6 subsequent meet next year, but that would carry forward.
- 7 I believe that was the way in which the procedure would
- 8 work.
- 9 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Right. So that year to
- 10 year do you have to -- if you want to opt out year to
- 11 year, you have to do that or is it forever at Bay
- 12 Meadows? That's what I'm trying to determine.
- 13 COMMISSION WOOD: It's my intention -- my
- 14 recollection of the discussion was once you opted out of
- Bay Meadows you were opted out of Bay Meadows.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Period.
- 17 COMMISSION WOOD: Period.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: And that would go until
- 19 you want to take that opt out away.
- MS. WAGNER: That's correct.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I just want to clarify it
- 22 for all concerned. Once you filled out 17 papers, you
- 23 are now covered throughout all racing for all time; is
- 24 that correct?
- MS. WAGNER: That's correct.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Be sure.
- 2 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: If an owner has multiple
- 3 trainers, you couldn't pick and choose. You're either
- 4 in or you're out.
- 5 MS. WAGNER: You're either in or our out.
- 6 COMMISSION WOOD: We're just trying to simplify
- 7 the process so that you don't have to go through
- 8 multiple filings each time at the race meets themselves.
- 9 I think that's the intent of that.
- 10 MR. VANDEKAMP: John Vandekamp, TOC. We're
- 11 supportive of this reg and have been. As I think you
- 12 know, Gary Berg of our board has been a long-term
- 13 supporter of this, God bless him.
- 14 And I think that the purpose of the form here
- is so that you could have one-stop shopping basically.
- 16 So as you filled out the form, the form could be filled
- out for basically all the racetracks in California at
- one time, as I believe it's been explained to me that
- 19 there will be multiple copies of this that you would
- 20 fill out, probably put in at the top of the paymaster of
- 21 purses, let's say, at Santa Anita, Hollywood Park, Del
- 22 Mar, and then those would be forwarded and filed at
- 23 those process. I think I'm correct.
- 24 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: Like a national or
- 25 statewide license, yes, sir. So each form could

- 1 represent different scenarios, but you have to indicate
- 2 on each form which one you wanted yourselves covered
- 3 under.
- 4 COMMISSIONER LICHT: One of the things I think
- 5 we need to be cautious of is horses shifting from one
- 6 track to another running in different trainers' names.
- 7 There could be different claims. I think we might want
- 8 to add something on the form or it would be better to
- 9 just do it inter-track as soon as possible whoever the
- 10 trainer listed in the program is the one that's going to
- 11 receive that direct fee. Because I know a lot of times
- 12 a Southern California trainer will ship up to Northern
- 13 California and still think it's his horse to receive the
- money.
- 15 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: That's a procedural
- thing I think we could cover with the coordination of
- 17 the forms among the bookers.
- 18 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: TOC will have to inform
- 19 owners about that, too, have an educational program. It
- 20 might be a problem or issue if somebody shipped into
- 21 California from someplace else and was not aware of it
- that they needed to be made aware that that was the
- 23 deal.
- 24 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: I think it would be
- 25 diligent on all of us, the TOC, the CTT, the racing

- 1 associations, through overnights, conditions, books,
- 2 various publications, once this is approved by OAL after
- 3 we adopt the regulations to do all we can to make sure
- 4 everyone knows how this works.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: We hope so. Is there any
- further comment on this proposed amendment?
- 7 MR. DOUGHERTY: Charlie Dougherty, California
- 8 Thoroughbred Trainers.
- 9 We just wanted to take the time to thank the
- 10 members of the board, the TOC and the race tracks for
- 11 sticking with this. It's been a long process to get
- 12 this where it is. I tell you, the trainers truly do
- 13 appreciate it and look forward to it being implemented.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I wish there were a way we
- 15 could call it the Gary Berg rule because he certainly
- deserves that in memorium for his work on this.
- 17 Is there any further comment? I will entertain
- a motion to approve the adoption of the proposed
- 19 regulatory amendment to CHRB rule 1467, Paymaster of
- 20 Purses.
- 21 COMMISSION BIANCO: I make a recommendation,
- 22 Al.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Second it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. Bill, you made
- 25 the motion?

- 1 COMMISSION BIANCO: I made the motion.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. And you've
- 3 seconded, Marie.
- 4 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Yes.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. All in favor,
- 6 please?
- 7 (Ayes.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 9 It is therefore adopted. Thank you.
- 10 Moving on. Public hearing now on the adoption
- of the proposed regulatory amendment to CHRB Rule 1691,
- 12 Colors and Number, to permit advertising on jockey
- 13 attire, owner silks, track and saddle clothing.
- 14 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The
- 15 proposed amendment to Rule 1691, as you know, will allow
- 16 advertising on jockeys' attire, owner silks and track
- 17 saddle cloths. This amendment was initially adopted by
- 18 the board in July of this year and subsequently
- 19 submitted to the Office of Administrative Law.
- 20 They disapproved the initial proposal for a
- 21 number of reasons. They did not satisfy the necessity,
- 22 clarity and consistent standards of review. They
- 23 recommended some changes to the regulation. In response
- 24 to those comments, the staff went ahead and modified the
- 25 language. We subsequently sent it out for an additional

- 1 15-day comment period, and staff at this point would
- 2 recommend that the board adopt the rule as presented.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Do we have discussion of
- 4 this rule from the board?
- 5 MR. BROAD: Mr. Chairman, members, Barry Broad
- 6 on behalf of the Jockeys Guild, and I have with me Chris
- 7 McKerron whom you all know.
- 8 We are, of course, supportive of the rule. We
- 9 were supportive of it the last time. We understand
- 10 that -- clearly understand the problem that arose with
- 11 regard to the regulation of commercial speech that the
- 12 Office of Administrative Law pointed out and, you know,
- 13 obviously we agreed that we can't keep what was in there
- 14 and would ask you to move forward on that basis.
- 15 In the last week or 10 days, the Jockeys Guild
- 16 has asked me to present to you an additional concept for
- 17 your consideration which I passed out, and as someone
- 18 who has served on an administrative board, the
- 19 Industrial Welfare Commission, I understand that late
- 20 arrivals are generally frowned upon in the world of
- 21 regulation, and I do apologize for that. And I made
- 22 every effort to talk to all of you and Mr. Wood as soon
- as I could. I could not get ahold of you, Ms. Moretti,
- 24 unfortunately, but I did talk to everyone else.
- 25 Let me explain the issue. The Jockeys Guild,

- 1 as you may know, has since I believe the 1940's
- 2 supported permanently disabled jockeys and temporarily
- 3 disabled jockeys. In California, we're fortunate to
- 4 have workers' compensation coverage which helps a great
- 5 deal with temporary disability, but we do have
- 6 permanently disabled jockeys who are supported and many
- 7 of them have been supported for decades.
- 8 That fund, which is now at about 1.2 million
- 9 dollars, is rapidly running out of money just because of
- 10 the number of people who need these benefits and the
- 11 cost of providing the services to those disabled
- 12 jockeys. The Jockeys Guild believes that we need to do
- 13 something quickly. That money will run out in
- 14 approximately 11 months at the rate at which it's being
- 15 expended. It's a very serious problem.
- 16 It's our view that the jockeys, disabled
- jockeys need to be taken care of, that we have to be
- 18 able to continue to do this. We therefore have come up
- 19 with an idea, and I will grant you it is not without
- 20 possible controversy, but I would like to raise it and
- 21 we would like you to consider it very strongly, and that
- 22 would be that for the first year that this advertising
- 23 is permitted that upon a majority vote of California
- 24 licensed jockeys that the proceeds of advertising
- 25 revenue would go to the Disabled Jockeys Endowment Fund,

- 1 after one year that that regulatory requirement would
- lapse and that the revenue could go anyplace that, you
- 3 know, there was an agreement to send it.
- 4 The issue that's been raised to me immediately
- 5 by a number of you as well as the executive director is
- 6 that this raises constitutional questions about whether
- 7 it amounts to a taking under the Constitution. That is,
- 8 taking someone's private property without compensation.
- 9 And our response to that is that we grant that that is a
- 10 legitimate argument that can be made and we wouldn't
- 11 quibble that it is not an issue. However, we have tried
- 12 by making this something that's approved by a majority
- 13 vote that's temporary to ameliorate those concerns.
- 14 And as you know, in horse racing there are a
- 15 number of situations in which associational
- 16 relationships are compelled by regulation and money
- which is in effect privately earned is distributed based
- on, you know, the state compelling it by regulation. So
- 19 it is not a foreign concept in horse racing.
- 20 With that, I would like to turn it over to
- 21 Chris to talk about the issue from his perspective, and
- 22 I would urge you to consider this with one caveat. If
- 23 it is the sense of the board that this is an issue which
- you don't want to tackle in this regulation, we don't
- 25 want to hold up adoption of the regulation today or in

- 1 other ways undermine it. So if that is the case, then
- we would ask you to just move forward. But we would
- 3 like you to seriously consider this and to help us with
- 4 this very difficult problem.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: May I ask, Chris, before
- 6 one quick question. In this small paragraph which was
- 7 distributed indicating your goal, are you saying that
- 8 all revenue from all sources of advertising aboard a
- 9 horse, be racetrack, jockeys and owners, go to this fund
- 10 or just the jockeys' share?
- 11 MR. BROAD: Just the jockeys' share.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. I wanted that
- 13 clear. It isn't in your memorandum.
- 14 MR. McKERRON: Chris McKerron representing the
- 15 Jockeys Guild. Due to my history, history of
- 16 involvement with the Don McBeth fund and now the Jockeys
- 17 Guild disabled fund, I feel strongly compelled to do
- 18 whatever I can to help disabled riders around the
- 19 country, especially in light of the fact that the
- 20 Jockeys Guild disabled fund is in a crisis situation
- 21 right now with the current burn rate.
- 22 If I may, I'd just like to read a quick letter
- 23 here from Elena Andreotti and Oscar Andreotti, her
- 24 husband, a 21-year-old rider that was injured at Los
- 25 Alamitos on October 22nd and unfortunately rendered

1 paraplegic.

2	I wish Oscar and I could be there to
3	speak with you in person. However, we
4	have not yet received a wheelchair
5	that enables Oscar to travel. In
6	light of Oscar's recent tragic
7	accident, we pray that you will give
8	strong consideration to the proposal
9	presented by Dr. Gerdminian and the
10	Jockeys Guild executive board. Oscar
11	is 21 years old and obviously has a
12	long and difficult road ahead of him.
13	We thank you in advance for anything
14	you can do. Signed Elena and Oscar
15	Andreotti.
16	Another thing that came to mind, due to the
17	fact that there are some owners of horses that are not
18	necessarily in favor of passing this, I thought it might
19	sweeten the situation a little bit or soften it some if
20	we could direct the money to go to disabled riders
21	instead of into, quote, wealthy jockeys' pockets. You
22	know, I don't want to take opportunities away from
23	anybody to further their income, further their living.
24	I'm fully in favor of that. However, if there is any
25	way that we could try to stem the tide a little bit of

- 1 the disabled jockeys fund from getting in a very
- 2 precarious situation, then that's basically the means of
- 3 my effort.
- 4 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I think it's crucial that
- 5 the industry support this disabled jockeys fund. I
- 6 don't know that I'm convinced this is the right way or
- 7 legal way to do it.
- 8 Where is the 1.2 million that you have now,
- 9 where did that come from?
- 10 MR. McKERRON: We conduct various fundraisers
- 11 throughout the year. We have autograph signing. Lone
- 12 Star has an event where they conduct a jockey all star
- 13 race every year and just various fundraisers throughout
- 14 the year.
- 15 COMMISSIONER LICHT: And the burn rate is
- somewhere between half a million and a million a year.
- 17 MR. McKERRON: That's correct.
- 18 MR. BROAD: Actually, at this point this year
- 19 up to date it's been 1.2 million. In terms of the
- 20 economics of it, our goal here is to raise nationally 10
- 21 million dollars to go into a permanent trust fund which
- 22 we believe would generate sufficient income over time to
- take care of this problem permanently.
- 24 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Just reading this, has the
- 25 guild voted for this or the executive board has come out

- 1 in favor of it or has it been a formal guild
- presentation?
- 3 MR. McKERRON: The full board has not voted for
- 4 it, no, or the executive board has.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Informally I presume
- 6 you've had conversations with some of your colleagues on
- 7 this. What is the sentiment of the jockeys?
- 8 MR. McKERRON: It's mixed, quite frankly. It's
- 9 very mixed.
- 10 COMMISSIONER LICHT: You'd be attempting to
- include nonguild members as well.
- MR. McKERRON: Yes.
- 13 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Same way. They have to
- 14 pay a hundred bucks for a license or whatever, they'd
- 15 have to agree to that.
- MR. McKERRON: That's the way it states, a
- 17 majority of California jockeys, yes.
- 18 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Some of this would seem
- 19 to revolve around the adequacy of the workers' comp
- 20 program. As I understand it, workers' comp is supposed
- 21 to take care of permanent disability, not just temporary
- 22 disability.
- MR. BROAD: Well, it's true it does take care
- of temporary and permanent disability, but as someone
- 25 whose client base is generally organized labor, the

- 1 workers' compensation system is not adequate, frankly.
- 2 Its benefit level is not adequate to really take care of
- 3 someone.
- 4 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I could see where we need
- 5 supplemental, but I think we need to know there is a
- 6 program there. It should be adequate to get this person
- 7 a wheelchair. I didn't realize it was that bad.
- 8 MR. BROAD: Well, many of you have dealt with
- 9 the workers' compensation system. It is not very
- 10 friendly to injured workers. It's not very friendly to
- 11 lawyers. It's generally very friendly to insurance
- 12 companies, but it is not a great system. Benefits are
- 13 slow in arriving, and in the case of jockeys, the
- 14 problem is they're probably not, you know, injuries
- don't know winners from losers or wealthy from poor;
- and, therefore, you know, if you're at the low end of
- 17 the benefit level on workers' compensation insurance,
- it's pretty low, pretty hard to live.
- 19 MR. McKERRON: And also due to the migratory
- 20 nature of our business, jockeys are traveling all over
- 21 the place. There is only five states in the country
- that have workers' comp for jockeys. Granted, we're
- 23 talking about a California situation here, but I know
- that California very often likes to take the lead on
- 25 certain things and it would look very unselfish in the

- 1 eyes of riders around the country if California were to
- 2 adopt something like this. It would look terrific and
- 3 it could be the model by which other states follow suit.
- 4 MR. BROAD: Let me just add this. There is no
- 5 question that the best state for jockeys in terms of how
- 6 they're treated is California. We've received very
- 7 sympathetic treatment of our issues by the Legislature,
- 8 by this board, by the industry. This state is head and
- 9 shoulders above all the other states in the United
- 10 States that have racing, and we want to make that
- 11 perfectly clear. That is our heartfelt view.
- 12 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: If we were to approve
- this and then you go back and you can't get a majority
- 14 vote on this particular paragraph, would you go alter by
- 15 saying perhaps a portion of the advertisement or would
- 16 you set up a voluntary system or what alternatives do
- 17 you have?
- 18 MR. BROAD: I think the way it reads if a
- 19 majority of California licensed jockeys voted no, then
- 20 there would be no -- it would just revert to pure
- 21 private agreement.
- 22 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I don't think that's
- feasible, though, because this is only going to affect
- 24 the very top end of the jockeys. The majority of the
- 25 jockeys aren't going to participate in any advertising

- 1 revenue, I wouldn't think.
- 2 MR. BROAD: We don't know.
- 3 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I know it's one person,
- 4 one vote in this country.
- 5 MR. McKERRON: I think to address Ms. Moretti's
- 6 comment is this is obviously an 11th hour effort here
- 7 and Dr. Gerdminian has not had an opportunity to speak
- 8 with every single jockey about this, but he's a very
- 9 persuasive man. He's got very strong persuasive
- 10 abilities, and I'm confident that he would be able to
- 11 achieve the majority vote. That obviously remains to be
- 12 seen, but I think once he explained the situation to
- 13 each and every rider, I think that the majority vote
- 14 probably would happen. But obviously I have no
- 15 guarantees.
- 16 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I'd feel a little more
- 17 comfortable with it if it was some sort of a super
- 18 majority like two-thirds. I would be a little fearful
- 19 if it was really close it might be divisive amongst the
- jockeys. One of my concerns is is there that much out
- 21 there that's going to be very meaningful. Do you have a
- 22 feel for that?
- MR. McKERRON: That's a very good question.
- 24 I'm not the most optimistic person in the world with
- 25 regard to this whole idea. However, I will tell you

- that we have begun -- we're going to enter into talks
- with a man by the name of Don Laws. He's the CEO of
- 3 Wrangler Jeans. There is a jockey who rides back East
- 4 who is next of kin and he tells me that Mr. Laws would
- 5 much rather enter into endorsement contracts to see that
- 6 the dollars went towards philanthropic reasons rather
- 7 than into participants' pockets. So it looks like a
- 8 pretty good fit if we could work out something with
- 9 Wrangler Jeans. But...
- 10 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Go ahead. I didn't mean
- 11 to cut you off.
- 12 MR. McKERRON: That's all right. I lost my
- 13 train of thought anyway. So go ahead.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I'm sympathetic to the
- 15 cause but not to the direction of having us create a
- 16 regulation. First of all, if you have a majority of the
- jockeys doing this vote, why do you need the board to
- 18 mandate for the rest of the jockeys? Seems to be a
- 19 wrong way of holding back a rule. In order to insert
- this into the rule, you're now delaying it by at least
- 21 two months and probably more, maybe three, because we
- 22 cannot judge how quickly the OAL will approve what we're
- 23 doing. That's number one. So in this desperate rush by
- 24 putting this in you are now delaying yourself.
- 25 Secondly, it seems to me that when you have a

- 1 vote among your jockeys to mandate that the others
- 2 follow the rule, that seems to me to go beyond our
- 3 ability to control the actions of people, even when it's
- 4 a good cause, even when it's a proper cause. We are
- 5 compelling an action when the majority will vote to do
- 6 it.
- 7 Why not stand up for all the jockeys in the
- 8 country and say we jockeys in California have voted 60
- 9 to 10 to do this. You are then leading the parade as
- 10 well without harming or getting in the way of this
- 11 particular regulation, and there are other parts of this
- 12 regulation that will come up for discussion, but you
- 13 having brought this up and understand that if the board
- wants to approve that language, and I don't know, we
- 15 have not obviously polled the board, you will be
- delaying the whole process by, as I say, three months
- 17 would be presumptive of me. I think OAL has in the past
- 18 taken longer in certain things and this may be one of
- 19 them.
- 20 So I leave the choice of moving forward to you,
- 21 but I think that we also must discuss in this ruling.
- 22 And I'm going to move away from your mandated problem to
- 23 say that whether or not it's incorporated indirectly by
- 24 the rule, there must be a provision, I believe, and I
- 25 would like to bring it up for discussion, for either

- 1 jockey or owner or anyone who is benefiting from this
- 2 process to be able to opt out of someone else's
- 3 advertising.
- 4 As an owner, I would like the ability to opt
- 5 out if I didn't like what the jockey was espousing on my
- 6 horse, I would like to have the ability to opt out. I
- 7 think we can do that by directive order. And as a
- 8 jockey, you ought be able to opt out if the owner is
- 9 going to put something on your back that's offensive to
- 10 you as an individual.
- 11 So the opt out process here is going to be such
- 12 that we're going to have to adjudicate in some manner,
- 13 and I don't know that this rule as written down allows
- 14 for that kind of give and take between owner, jockey and
- 15 track. I leave that open for discussion. I'd like to
- 16 hear more about it and let's see where we are when all
- of this comes to pass.
- 18 MR. BROAD: Let me just comment on that. First
- 19 of all, we never envisioned that -- I guess we thought
- 20 that this issue would work itself out in the marketplace
- of advertising as advertising generally does, that to
- 22 put it, I guess, how we viewed it, a leading jockey with
- lot of market clout who is desired by an owner and a
- 24 trainer to ride a horse is going to come to that
- 25 relationship saying, I'm advertising product X, Y and Z,

- 1 and we believe and agree that there should be a
- 2 directive of the board requiring, you know, disclosure,
- 3 everybody's disclosure before the meet starts through
- 4 the steward of who's got what advertising deals.
- 5 And that let's say it's a leading jockey and
- 6 said, well, you know what, I come with Coca Cola. And
- 7 if an owner says, gee, I hate Coca Cola, I just only
- 8 drink Pepsi and that just won't work for me, that that
- 9 will be worked out in the marketplace of, you know,
- 10 economic relationships. Either I want that jockey bad
- 11 enough and as a leading jockey he or she is going to
- 12 agree or not agree.
- 13 If you have a jockey with little market clout
- 14 who comes to the relationship and says, you know, I've
- 15 got a deal here for Coke and, you know, the owner or the
- trainer says, well, I really like Pepsi and you're not
- 17 riding for me if you're going to advertise Coke, then I
- 18 think that that jockey is going to be in a far more
- 19 difficult position insisting on wearing that advertising
- 20 in that relationship, and we assumed that that would
- 21 work itself out in the market relationship.
- 22 I don't think it's a good idea, although there
- is a history of the constituent elements of horse racing
- 24 fighting with one another to the point that everybody is
- 25 harmed. Generally speaking, our view is that we would

- 1 go forward collectively and that the best approach would
- 2 be that the tracks, the owners, the trainers, and the
- 3 jockeys would pursue sort of common contracts regarding
- 4 advertising that would -- and that would likely generate
- 5 the most revenue for everybody. So I think that's our
- 6 general view of this. We certainly don't want anyone
- 7 not to be able to opt out, frankly.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I think that's an
- 9 important point in this entire discussion.
- 10 MR. McKERRON: To respond to two comments,
- 11 mandating the contribution just was basically born out
- of the fact that we for the Don McBeth, we have a
- 13 Jockeys Across America Day and we get each jockey around
- 14 the country to pledge a certain dollar figure.
- 15 Receiving a pledge is wonderful, but receiving the
- 16 dollars can be timely. I mean, you know,
- 17 time-consuming, I should say. So that's one of the
- 18 reasons why we said, okay, we'll see if we can say,
- 19 okay, all these dollars are going to go.
- The other thing is as a jockey who has ridden
- 21 for 27 years, I certainly don't want to bite the hand
- 22 that feeds me. I fully respect the position of the
- owners in the business and I would not want to
- 24 jeopardize my relationship with anybody who owns or
- 25 trains horses.

- 1 That being said, though, there is precedence
- 2 out there in the sports world with regard to conflicts
- 3 between endorsing companies. For instance, Ray Floyd
- 4 might wear Lexus on his shirt, but he's not precluded
- from the Nissan LA Open or the Buick Invitational.
- 6 There are ways to resolve those potential conflicts, and
- 7 we certainly want to work with everybody to resolve
- 8 those conflicts.
- 9 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: We hope to.
- 10 MR. VANDEKAMP: John Vandekamp, TOC. There are
- 11 really two issues here, the one that you raised
- 12 Mr. Chairman. I talked to Gary Stevens this summer, who
- has had experience in England where they've had
- 14 advertising, and we've been concerned that there is
- 15 potential friction based on competing interests between
- 16 owners and jockeys as a result of this rule. And what
- 17 he told me that he did there was to blast fax I think
- 18 all of his owners the nature of the advertising
- 19 arrangements that he had well in advance of the races so
- 20 that if there was a problem that he wouldn't use it.
- 21 I have been in communication with Mr. Wood here
- in the last month or so recommending that a directive be
- issued from the board to implement this rule to the
- 24 effect that well in advance of racing that jockeys as
- 25 well as owners indicate who the advertisers would be so

- decisions would be made by the jockey and the owner as
- 2 to how they would proceed. I think that just picks up
- 3 on what Mr. Broad has said.
- 4 Second point, though, I just would like to
- 5 applaud the Jockeys Guild for what has been recommended
- 6 today at least in terms of goals. There are a couple of
- 7 real advantages of it. We're going to help jockeys who
- 8 are needy. Terrific. Number two, if you get a national
- 9 sponsor like Wrangler, I think you're making it a lot
- 10 easier, and every jockey, you know, can wear those on
- 11 his pants and it gets everybody involved in the
- 12 industry, not just the favored few. And we think that's
- 13 a terrific idea.
- 14 The question, of course, is whether the board
- 15 could put it into regulation, and I guess my advice
- 16 would be if this board wants to proceed with this,
- 17 probably has to go out for a 45-day hearing situation.
- 18 There may be another way of doing this, and that is
- 19 sending this to committee, having the Attorney General
- 20 give you an opinion as to whether this is appropriate or
- 21 not, and then amend the rule that you may approve today
- 22 that has already been approved by the board. And then
- 23 if the Attorney General comes back and says that, you
- 24 know, you really can't take away the proprietary
- 25 interest of jockeys, I'm thinking particularly of those

- 1 who are not members of the guild, that this board I
- 2 think can certainly act within its powers to issue a
- 3 resolution applauding the Jockeys Guild, urging jockeys
- 4 in the state to contribute in this way.
- 5 And while I can't speak for my board today
- 6 because I have not presented it to it, I'm sure that the
- 7 owners of California would be very supportive of
- 8 persuasive efforts to try to get all the jockeys on
- 9 board. And I think that peer pressure can be very
- 10 powerful. But I think that the idea that has been
- 11 presented is a step forward and I applaud Dr. Gerdminian
- 12 and the guild for bringing it to light. The question I
- 13 think is the mechanism as to how to do it.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there further
- 15 discussion on either the mechanism and/or the idea?
- 16 If there is no further discussion, I'm toying with
- 17 another suggestion, but I'm going to let it pass. Can I
- 18 have a motion to adopt the proposed regulatory amendment
- to the CHRB Rule 1691, Colors and Numbers?
- 20 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Chris, you are willing
- 21 if we have to go back for three more months or whatever
- it could be, you're willing to give that time frame.
- 23 We've been working on this for so long, that's why I
- just want to hear it clear.
- MR. BROAD: I suppose, although I think perhaps

- 1 Mr. Vandekamp's suggestion, given the potential
- 2 constitutional questions here, and I think they are real
- 3 and there may be ways to deal with this by changing this
- 4 language, which is a week old, that would take care of
- 5 that. I think the worst of all possible worlds would be
- 6 to delay it three months, adopt something and then have
- 7 OAL come back and say it's unconstitutional, you know,
- 8 start all over again.
- 9 Given the time frame involved, it might be
- 10 better to go ahead and adopt it as it's proposed and to
- 11 ask the Attorney General to opine on the language and
- 12 maybe make any suggestions about if that language is
- 13 deficient in some manner constitutionally or in a
- 14 regulatory sense, I suppose, how it might be resolved;
- 15 and then we would weigh collectively, I suppose, whether
- 16 to seek an amendment of the regulation and adopt it. Do
- 17 you agree?
- 18 MR. McKERRON: Would it be possible to this
- 19 week also give us an opportunity to have Dr. Gerdminian
- 20 speak with all the jockeys and make sure that everybody
- is on the same wavelength? Is it a possibility to have
- 22 an amendment later on?
- 23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: Yes.
- 24 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I think that's language
- 25 adopted as stated before your suggestion. Seems like

- 1 the jockeys maybe need to look at their total picture.
- 2 Basically what you need is more funds to aim at certain
- 3 things. Maybe you have other source of funds. There
- 4 are other ways to do it, including this, to get a total
- 5 picture rather than hold up this.
- 6 MR. BROAD: Thank you.
- 7 MR. McKERRON: Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: John Vandekamp? Where are
- 9 you?
- 10 MR. VANDEKAMP: Sir.
- 11 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: The resolution that you
- 12 propose which I think is a meritorious idea at this
- 13 moment to help the Jockeys Guild move forward and I
- 14 think it may be the consensus of the board, I just like
- 15 to know how you would frame that resolution.
- 16 MR. VANDEKAMP: I would not suggest you do it
- 17 today. I would suggest that if the Jockeys Guild
- 18 basically has support for it and you get a national
- 19 sponsor that they pass the information on to you and
- 20 then I think your help -- I think it's a little
- 21 premature for you to act today on that.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I can't promise you the
- 23 board help, but I just wanted to know what your comment
- 24 had been. Therefore, is there any more discussion of
- 25 this? Can I have a motion to adopt the proposed

- 1 regulatory amendment to CHRB Rule 1691, Colors and
- 2 Numbers?
- 3 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: So move.
- 4 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Second.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor?
- 6 (Ayes.)
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All opposed?
- 8 Unanimous. It's an interesting step forward in
- 9 racing.
- 10 Moving on then, public hearing on the adoption
- of the proposed regulatory amendment to Rule 1858, Test
- 12 Sample Required to eliminate the requirement that every
- 13 horse claimed in a claiming race can --
- 14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: You skipped number
- 15 five.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Forgive me. I did skip
- one I checked it off too soon.
- 18 Let's strike that and we're going back to item
- 19 five on the agenda, public hearing on the adoption of
- the proposed regulatory amendment to CHRB Rule 1844,
- 21 Authorized Medication. Staff report?
- MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The
- 23 proposed amendment to Rule 1844 will expand the list of
- 24 authorized medications to include clenbuterol at a level
- 25 not to exceed five nanograms per milliliter that can be

- 1 present in an official post race test sample.
- The rule has been out for notice 45 days.
- 3 Staff has received no comments on the proposal and we
- 4 would recommend that the board adopt the proposal as
- 5 presented.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Do we have discussion of
- 7 this proposed amendment?
- 8 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: This was originally
- 9 discussed at the August meeting of the Medication
- 10 Committee, and we had an extensive report from two
- 11 scientists up here in Davis, Drs. Stanley and Baker.
- 12 They've done extensive research on this and feel that
- 13 five nanograms would definitely not enhance any horse
- 14 performance, but would have therapeutic value in
- 15 treating respiratory infections in horses. I think they
- 16 are here and could answer any questions on the study
- 17 itself.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Are there any questions
- 19 forthcoming? Among the board? Anything further to be
- 20 said? May I have a motion to adopt?
- 21 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I'll move we adopt the
- 22 proposed rule change.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: CHRB 1844.
- 24 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: CHRB 1844, authorized
- 25 medication which adds a level of clenbuterol of five

- 1 nanograms per milliliter.
- 2 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor?
- 4 (Ayes.)
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 6 The motion is unanimously carried.
- 7 Moving on now, sorry to skip. We have a long
- 8 agenda today. This is a public hearing on the adoption
- 9 of the proposed regulatory amendment to Rule 1858, Test
- 10 Sample Required, to eliminate the requirement that every
- 11 horse claimed in a claiming race be tested; to change
- 12 the requirement that nine additional horses selected
- from the racing program be tested to not less than six
- or more than nine horses; and to increase the gross
- 15 purse amount for testing horses finishing second or
- third in a stakes race from 40,000 to 75,000. Jackie?
- 17 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The
- 18 proposal before you for the amendment to Rule 1858 will
- 19 effectively eliminate the requirement that all claimed
- 20 horses be tested. However, it must be noted that under
- 21 the proposal claimed horses can still be tested at the
- 22 discretion of the stewards. That testing would come
- 23 about in the random selection. In addition, all claimed
- 24 horses that win their claiming race will have to be
- 25 tested by virtue of the fact that they are a winner.

The amendment also raises the gross purse for a

- 2 stakes race from 40 to 75 thousand of those horses that
- 3 have to be tested.
- 4 The amendment has been out for public comment.
- 5 We have received no comments on the proposal and staff
- 6 would recommend that the board adopt the amendment as
- 7 presented.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there discussion from
- 9 the board members or the public?
- 10 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: The Medication Committee
- 11 also looked at this back at the August meeting and felt
- 12 that it would be wise to cut back slightly on the number
- of tests with the idea of putting more emphasis on
- 14 better testing. The claimed horses, one of the issues
- 15 here is that they were only being tested for blood, not
- 16 urine anyway, and there was no recourse that anyone had
- if a horse had a high bute. We feel still anybody would
- 18 take a risk of being picked up on a random test and it
- 19 was literally some race meets there's eight or nine
- 20 horses claimed and the receiving barn really oftentimes
- 21 can't really handle that many horses very well. So just
- 22 we feel for overall efficiency of the program is
- 23 enhanced by dropping a few categories of the horses.
- 24 Also, on the stakes raises, the stake raises
- 25 rule basically just takes into account inflation. I

- 1 think it was a good detriment to anyone that's trying to
- 2 cheat that they know there is a likelihood that their
- 3 horse is going to be tested. I would just cut back a
- 4 little bit so we can do a better job than the ones we're
- 5 doing.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Further comment? Jim,
- 7 come on up.
- 8 MR. GHIDELLA: I can speak from here.
- 9 Jim Ghidella from the TOC.
- 10 I just wanted the board to be aware that this
- 11 would raise the bar above most stakes in Northern
- 12 California now. In fact, our overnight stakes at Golden
- 13 Gate fields are \$55,000 purses. There's 21 of those
- 14 stakes. So there is 21 stakes where there will be
- 15 reduced testing.
- On the county fairs, I believe we only have two
- 17 thoroughbred stakes that 75,000 or over. So that means
- 18 every stake on the county fairs would only have one
- 19 percent tested. So the impact is a lot greater in the
- 20 north as opposed to what's happening in the south.
- 21 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: Referring to the
- 22 comment that Mr. Ghidella made, the stewards still have
- 23 the discretion to test those horses in their random
- 24 selections each time. So that in the stakes races, I
- 25 would think that we would require or request the

- 1 stewards to consider that if any horse that's one, two
- 2 in a stakes race at any meet in Northern California
- 3 continue to be tested.
- 4 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. Yes.
- 5 MR. BROAD: Mr. Chairman, members, Barry Broad
- on behalf of the Jockeys Guild.
- 7 We're not opposing this regulation. We didn't
- 8 oppose the prior regulation. However, the Jockeys Guild
- 9 has always been very concerned when the trend gets
- towards decreasing the frequency of testing and
- increasing the levels of medication. We're hoping it's
- 12 not a trend because it does greatly concern the jockeys.
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: The testing that we
- 15 witnessed in terms of process here yesterday is becoming
- 16 more and more accurate, it's becoming more and more
- 17 sensitive and more and more likely to pick up the kinds
- 18 of illegal drug, if you will, or unintentional illegal
- 19 drugging.
- 20 I think that resting on that merit at this
- 21 moment I'm personally comfortable with it where it
- 22 stands and with this regulation. However, is there more
- 23 discussion? In which case I'll entertain a motion to
- 24 adopt the proposed regulatory amendment to Rule 1858,
- 25 Test Sample Required.

- 1 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I'll move we adopt Rule
- 2 1858, Test Sample Required.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Second.
- 4 COMMISSION BIANCO: Second.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor.
- 6 (Ayes.)
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 8 This is a very unanimous board.
- 9 We are now moving on to item seven on the
- 10 agenda, public hearing on the adoption of the proposed
- 11 addition of Article 26, advance deposit wagering, which
- 12 contains a number of regulatory points. John Reagan.
- 13 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Sorry. I didn't know
- which of you was handle each of those.
- 16 MS. WAGNER: The proposal before you is for the
- 17 adoption of Article 26, advance deposit wagering.
- 18 Within that article we will have 14 new rules that will
- 19 be on the, if the board adopts them, that will be on the
- 20 board's books that will govern advance deposit wagering
- 21 here in California.
- The first rule that is up for your
- 23 consideration is Rule 2070. This is definitions, and
- 24 this rule provides the terms and the definitions that
- are going to be used in Rules 2071 through 2083 which

- 1 are the rules that govern advance deposit wagering.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there a comment on Rule
- 3 2070, Definitions?
- 4 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Do we need to pass each
- 5 one individually?
- 6 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: Yes, each one.
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: We will be passing each
- 8 one of these rules individually.
- 9 MR. BADOVINAC: Thank you, Commissioner. My
- 10 name is Greq Badovinac. I am a member of California
- 11 Horse Racing Fans Committee, although I am speaking as
- 12 an individual and I am not otherwise involved with the
- 13 horse racing industry.
- I in a comment suggested that we add in
- paragraph J, credits, to deal with refunded wagers.
- 16 There are occasions when wagering pools are terminated
- 17 because of the number of horses or because of the fact
- 18 that racing cannot be conducted that day.
- 19 Just as a technical clarification that credits
- 20 subpart J be amended to read credits mean all positive
- 21 inflow of money from a winning or refunded wager to an
- 22 account.
- MR. REAGAN: Commissioner, John Reagan,
- 24 R-e-a-g-a-n, CHRB staff.
- 25 Our guest here today has an interesting point.

- 1 However, this paragraph J is not meant to be totally
- 2 inclusive, in that we have also Rule 2079 that talks
- 3 about refunds. The point is I don't believe that it's a
- 4 serious enough issue that we should delay the rule for
- 5 15-day notice, which would take it out maybe two months,
- 6 for this one correction. I think the rules as they
- 7 stand cover the issue.
- 8 We also have our standard pari-mutuel rules
- 9 that refer to cancelled races and refunds, and I think
- 10 it's pretty clear as to what we should do in this
- 11 regard. Like he said, kind of a technical issue, but I
- 12 don't believe it's serious enough to hold up the rule
- 13 today.
- 14 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I agree with Greg. I
- 15 think you make a good point. But I think in winning
- 16 when construed in terms of all the regulations that we
- 17 would have would include a refund. Winning would
- 18 include it.
- 19 MR. BADOVINAC: I also submitted a comment on
- 20 Rule 2079, and it is unclear as to when the refunded
- 21 wager would be posted to the bettor's account.
- 22 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Well, I think we had that
- 23 discussion at our meeting at Fairplex and talking to the
- 24 Autotote people, a horse that is scratched, if you have
- 25 a ticket on that horse, in order for you to get your

- 1 money back at the track, you have to in fact have the
- 2 ticket cancelled until the race is run. You cannot
- 3 receive a refund for that money the minute the horse is
- 4 scratched unless you ask to have the ticket cancelled.
- 5 So I would assume that we will have the same sort of
- 6 mechanism in place with advance deposit wagering.
- 7 MR. BADOVINAC: Sir, but at the same time, in
- 8 the unlikely event that racing is cancelled at a
- 9 location due to weather or unsafe conditions, the
- 10 regulations are unclear as to when those -- if I've
- 11 already made a bet on race nine and racing is terminated
- 12 at race five, the regulations are unclear as to when I
- would get my refund on race nine.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: You don't accept common
- 15 practice that is at that moment in time the refunding
- 16 begins.
- 17 MR. BADOVINAC: As I said in my comment on Rule
- 18 2079, it's unclear further on in the regulations.
- 19 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I don't think we want to
- 20 change the reg because of an unclarity. It's part of
- 21 common practice in racing.
- 22 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Seems like as time goes
- on there are going to be minor fixes that we do, but I'd
- like to see us hold up this one on this.
- 25 COMMISSIONER LICHT: You understand what I'm

- 1 talking about as far as a scratched horse. You don't
- 2 actually receive the money as a scratched. You receive
- 3 a cancelled ticket the same way you would ask your hub
- 4 to cancel your ticket, I assume.
- 5 MR. BADOVINAC: I have no issue with the
- 6 scratched horse. It's cancellation of the race cards
- 7 due to weather, unsafe conditions that is left unclear
- 8 in this regulation.
- 9 MR. REAGAN: However, Commissioner, CHRB Rule
- 10 1544, calling off a race, discusses those very issues in
- 11 terms of how we would handle it.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Further discussion of the
- definitions number 2070?
- 14 MR. TOWNE: Norm Towne representing Cal Expo
- 15 and the Solano County Fair.
- 16 I don't advocate changing any of the
- 17 regulations that you propose here, but on the definition
- 18 area, I think that we need to put a definition in on
- 19 calendar period. I don't believe it's defined in law
- 20 and I don't believe it's defined in the regulations, and
- 21 it is -- it does impact both the live meets and the
- 22 advance deposit wagering.
- 23 If there's a circumstance that arises where no
- 24 licensee in California defined as someone who is
- operating a live race meet is, let's say, conducting a

- 1 meet for a week, hypothetically, if that's a calendar
- 2 period, and I don't know whether it is or not because it
- 3 isn't defined, but if that were a calendar period or
- 4 defined as a calendar period in someone's mind, what
- 5 happens with advance deposit wagers that occur during
- 6 that week?
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: In what respect?
- 8 MR. TOWNE: Well, let's say that presumably TVG
- 9 or Ubet or anyone else that's conducting advance deposit
- 10 wagers in California with California residents making
- 11 those wagers, if there are no licensees operating during
- 12 a calendar period in California, what happens to advance
- deposit wagers during that time frame?
- 14 COMMISSIONER LICHT: If no track is operating
- in California but a California resident is betting
- outside the state? Is that what you're saying?
- 17 MR. TOWNE: Right.
- 18 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Somebody would have to
- 19 deem somebody to be operating. Maybe like this year
- 20 Hollywood Park closes on December 17th, that they would
- 21 split with Santa Anita somehow or somebody was deemed to
- 22 be operating every day.
- 23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: I would think that
- 24 would be correct, Commissioner Harris. I think that is
- 25 the situation that would be addressed in the agreements

- 1 presented at the time of licensing to the board, and I
- 2 believe that if the definition for calendar day needs to
- 3 be included in the definitions, it ought to be an
- 4 additional request to amend those regulations after we
- 5 get these regulations in place, not as to amend them as
- 6 we go forward, because I think currently we can conduct
- 7 an application for licensing process which would include
- 8 the times that you speak of as a part of the agreements
- 9 that the applicant would make at the time of licensing
- 10 MR. TOWNE: And I agree with that. I just
- 11 think that this board and the industry in general may
- 12 want to look at adding a reg at some point or in fact
- inserting something into the horse racing law that
- 14 defines calendar period.
- 15 COMMISSIONER LICHT: That's a market access
- 16 fee, that's what you're talking about, right?
- 17 MR. TOWNE: Yes.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Therefore, is there
- 19 further discussion of Rule 2070, Definitions?
- 20 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Jackie, there were no
- 21 public comments to that other than Greg's comment?
- MS. WAGNER: That's correct.
- 23 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Okay.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Then let me entertain a
- 25 motion to accept Rule 2070, Definitions.

1 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I so move that we adopt

- 2 that rule.
- 3 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Seconded.
- 4 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Moved to adopt and
- 5 seconded. All in favor.
- 6 (Ayes.)
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 8 So we have adopted Rule 2070, Definitions.
- 9 Moving on in our list, Rule 2071 under Article
- 10 26, license to conduct advance deposit wagering by a
- 11 California applicant, provides procedures and conditions
- 12 a California applicant must comply with to be licensed
- 13 to conduct advance deposit wagering; and incorporates by
- reference CHRB-132, new as of 9/01, application for
- license to conduct advance deposit wagering.
- Jackie will do the staff report.
- MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
- As enumerated by our chairman, Rule 2071
- 19 provides the guidelines and procedures that an applicant
- 20 must comply with in order to be licensed to conduct
- 21 advance deposit wagering here in California. Staff
- 22 would recommend that the board adopt the rule as
- 23 presented.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there discussion of
- 25 this particular item?

- 1 MR. BADOVINAC: Greg Badovinac. I do encourage
- 2 the board to amend paragraph K. The current regulation
- 3 states that the board has 90 calendar days to approve or
- 4 deny an application. However, there is no requirement
- 5 that the board take action.
- 6 In my E-mailed comment I suggested that no
- 7 action by the board within 90 calendar days is deemed
- 8 approval of the application. This is consistent with
- 9 other California regulatory agencies such as the
- 10 California Department of Financial Institutions, that if
- 11 the agency does not take action within a specified time,
- 12 it's deemed approved. It would not affect the agency's
- ability to deny the application or request additional
- 14 time but would require an action.
- 15 MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, John Reagan. We're
- 16 uncomfortable in putting the board in the position where
- 17 something is automatically approved after a given time
- 18 frame. We believe we can certainly respond within that
- 19 time frame, make any recommendations to the applicant as
- 20 to what conditions or problems we have with it and we
- 21 can certainly take care of that. We certainly don't
- 22 want to mandate something be approved.
- MR. BADOVINAC: Mr. Chairman, to avoid all
- this, I would just say on the record for 2072 and I'll
- 25 still have the same comment.

- 1 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I think Greg's suggestions
- 2 are good, but they should be in the nature of the
- 3 amendment, to be considered as amendments. I don't
- 4 think they're of such a dramatic nature that we should
- 5 delay implementation of the law.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Any other discussion? I
- 7 entertain a motion to approve Rule 2071, license to
- 8 conduct advance deposit wagering by California
- 9 applicant.
- 10 COMMISSION BIANCO: I make a motion, Al.
- 11 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Second.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor?
- 13 (Ayes.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- Therefore we have approved Rule 2071, license
- 16 to conduct advance deposit wagering by a California
- 17 applicant.
- 18 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I'm assume, Jackie, there
- is no public comment.
- MS. WAGNER: No public comment.
- 21 COMMISSIONER LICHT: On any of these advance
- 22 deposit wagering.
- MS. WAGNER: The only comments we have received
- 24 are from Mr. Greg --
- 25 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Badovinac.

- 1 MS. WAGNER: -- Badovinac and he is here to
- 2 raise his comments to the board.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Moving on to Rule 2072 of
- 4 Article 26, approval to conduct advance deposit wagering
- 5 by an out-of-state applicant. Jackie.
- 6 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
- 7 Rule 2072 provides the guidelines and
- 8 procedures that an out-of-state applicant must comply
- 9 with in order to be approved for operating an advance
- 10 deposit wagering here in California.
- 11 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Comment on this rule? Is
- 12 there comment?
- MR. BADOVINAC: As I stated earlier, sir.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. We have Greg's
- 15 comment. Anyone else's comment on this rule?
- 16 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Could I ask, they were
- 17 talking about in this I just got today? Does this refer
- 18 to this? Is Ron Liccardo here?
- MR. LICCARDO: Yes.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: I received your letter
- 21 earlier this morning when I came here. I was just
- 22 wondering if this was pertaining to this particular
- 23 rule.
- MR. LICCARDO: I don't know if it's pertaining
- 25 to this particular rule, but I think it's going to come

- 1 up either now or it's going to come up when everybody
- 2 goes for their licensing, I mean making their request.
- 3 I believe everybody on the board might have got a copy
- 4 of the letter I sent them or --
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I have not.
- 6 MR. LICCARDO: No?
- 7 COMMISSION BIANCO: I have not either.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Part of the considerations
- 9 within the license framework is the utilization of union
- 10 employees and union labor agreements. As we get into
- 11 the licensing procedure, as you all know, we have almost
- 12 a pilot program here that goes beyond what many of the
- 13 states have done with their wagering and we're learning
- 14 as well as you do.
- 15 We recognize labor's needs and wishes in this.
- 16 To the extent that we feel we can fulfill them, I'm sure
- this board is sympathetic to your organization.
- MR. LICCARDO: As of late, I've only been
- 19 informed, well, I've been informed -- we've been talking
- that there would possibly be no jobs for us in this new
- 21 venture in the industry that directly deal with what we
- 22 do for a living, like it says in there, who has the, you
- 23 know, the light field at the nearest racetrack.
- 24 If there are any positions, they may be taking
- 25 place out of state. That's why I feel and that's why I

- 1 wrote this letter to the Governor's office that I
- 2 thought the CHRB ought to be in control of the complete
- 3 advance deposit wagering and everything should be in the
- 4 confines of the State of California. That way they can
- 5 be overseeing everything. With things happening out of
- 6 state, you won't be able to be seeing what's happening
- 7 in other states with your advance deposit wagering.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: To the extent that we
- 9 license them, we do have some control, albeit it is not
- 10 as easy to exert, but they have, to in order to comply
- 11 with the law, be allowed to render that service and they
- 12 have to be licensed according to that law by the CHRB.
- Within our license regulation, we will be
- 14 dealing with labor. How we get California labor into
- 15 out of state we will be finding out as we go along. The
- 16 extent to which we can be effective in this will rest
- 17 with the manner in which licensees deposit their
- 18 licenses to us and we go through them and try to get the
- 19 best possible deal for California racing, for California
- 20 labor and for California bettors.
- 21 MR. LICCARDO: Like I said, the last couple of
- 22 days I've talked to different people and been told the
- 23 possibilities of other ways to go, which will be
- 24 addressed probably on licensing when we go to licensing
- 25 the individual rather at this time now. That's why I

- 1 didn't bring it up right now. What I was going to do
- 2 after you got done proposing or approving or
- 3 disapproving the regs, I was going to come in and ask to
- 4 talk about this letter here.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: We appreciate your
- 6 concern.
- 7 MR. LICCARDO: Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Certainly there is role
- 9 for your people in the pari-mutuel departments and they
- 10 should be able to be part of these new account wagering
- 11 systems.
- MR. LICCARDO: Well, we've put a lot of time in
- 13 this advance deposit wagering, and I'd say that maybe
- 14 not quite as much as the tracks, but a lot of our time
- in the last couple years has been spent lobbying for
- this to happen because we felt and didn't quite
- 17 understand the way it was going to be run and if it's
- done completely electronically, there is not going to be
- 19 anything for us in this.
- 20 Spending as much time as we did thinking that
- 21 there might be a system out there, whether it be replace
- the jobs that we lost live because of people that
- 23 wouldn't be betting live, finding out now there's a
- 24 possibility it would be nothing. So we're looking to
- see if we can try to salvage something.

1 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I can sympathize with the

- 2 union concerns. Not to hold this up, but getting some
- 3 legal point as far as if it's in violation of any
- 4 interstate trade type issues for California to regulate
- if a hub can only be in California. If there's, you
- 6 know, constitutional issues or legislation issues on
- 7 that.
- 8 MR. BLAKE: I'm sure that that was considered
- 9 when AB 471 was drafted and there are substantial issues
- 10 with trying to restrict interstate commerce in this
- 11 mode. I think the Legislature may have had in mind that
- 12 it's better to regulate something that is going to occur
- anyway than to just have it occur out of state and not
- 14 be able to regulate it.
- MR. LICCARDO: Well, with the hub being in
- 16 California, that doesn't necessarily mean the bet is
- 17 going to be placed in California. If the bet was being
- 18 placed in California and the hub being there, then there
- 19 is the possibility of being jobs somewhere. I think the
- jobs are going to be in the other states that we do our
- 21 placing of our bet. The information is all going to be
- 22 at the hub.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: We're all grasping for the
- 24 same information which will be forthcoming within the
- 25 next 30 days and all of us will get a much clearer

- 1 picture of how and what we can do.
- 2 MR. LICCARDO: Usually what happens if you lose
- 3 it, it's harder to get it back than if you hold on to it
- 4 at the beginning.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: No one knows that more
- 6 than racing because they've lost a lot in the past by
- 7 not acting at the right moment. We hope to be able to
- 8 act at the right moment.
- 9 Is there any further discussion then on Rule
- 10 2072, approval to conduct advance deposit wagering by an
- 11 out-of-state applicant?
- MS. MORETTI: I move to approve.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: We have a motion to
- 14 approve.
- 15 COMMISSION BIANCO: Second.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor.
- 17 (Ayes.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 19 It's therefore adopted, Rule 2072, approval to
- 20 conduct advance deposit wagering by an out-of-state
- 21 applicant.
- 22 Moving on, Rule 2073 of Article 26, operation
- of an advance deposit wagering account for all entities,
- 24 comment from staff.
- MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.

- 1 Rule 2073 provides the procedures and
- 2 conditions for the day-to-day operations of advance
- 3 deposit wagering accounts. We did receive a comment
- 4 from Mr. Badovinac. If he would like to enumerate his
- 5 comments at this time, he can do that. Staff would
- 6 recommend that the board, however, adopt the proposal as
- 7 presented.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Greg, same comment or --
- 9 MR. BADOVINAC: You're on Rule 2073.
- MS. WAGNER: Correct.
- MR. BADOVINAC: I did not submit a comment.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Okay. Any further comment
- from the public? Is there a hand up in back? I
- 14 couldn't see who it was.
- MR. TRAMONTANO: My name is Tony Tramontano
- with the San Jose satellite facility. That's all right.
- 17 I'm thinking here.
- 18 I have two questions actually. What would a
- 19 nonracing facility, we don't have live racing, where
- 20 would we fit into this? How we could affiliate with
- 21 somebody who has a license if we didn't want to have a
- 22 license ourselves?
- 23 And the second question is, assuming that we
- 24 can affiliate with a licensee, can we affiliate with
- 25 more than one licensee like with an out-of-state hub as

- well as an in-state licensee to conduct wagering?
- 2 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All right. Let's see.
- 3 Whose comment is this? It is my understanding of this
- 4 particular rule, and I stand to be corrected if anybody
- 5 wants to, that advance deposit wagering entities, as
- 6 long as they can meet the requirements of the license,
- 7 can be from any part of the industry or any outsider.
- 8 There is no restriction on who can apply. There is a
- 9 set of rules that they would have to meet in order to
- 10 apply. But if you are saying, could we apply, I would
- 11 say I can see no reason why you couldn't apply as a
- 12 unit, but you would have to be able to meet the economic
- boundaries, you'd have to be able to meet the
- 14 requirements of the license in order to go forward.
- I don't know if there is any better answer to
- 16 that. If somebody has it, please tell me.
- 17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: No, I think the
- 18 answer to his question is yes on both counts. It's out
- 19 there to be negotiated and for agreements to be made.
- 20 So yes and yes.
- 21 MR. TRAMONTANO: But it would require a
- 22 significant amount of capital to become a licensee, I
- 23 would imagine.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: It would require a bond of
- 25 \$500,000 and a visible ability to fulfill the

- 1 requirements of the licensee.
- 2 MR. TRAMONTANO: There's infrastructure, there
- 3 are all sorts of things that you have to have in order
- 4 to participate.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: That is a business plan
- 6 problem. It's not a problem of the license regulation.
- 7 You have to have a business plan for moving forward.
- 8 Business plans are done all the time within well
- 9 measured businesses and the field is wide open. If you
- 10 decided that you wanted to run one out of your house, it
- 11 might still be able to be licensed. There is no
- 12 restriction on who can apply for a license. Who will
- 13 get the licenses is another matter, but that will depend
- 14 upon their ability to fulfill the obligations of the
- 15 license.
- MR. TRAMONTANO: I guess my question is, is it
- 17 possible for me as a free-standing facility to affiliate
- 18 with an existing hub or an existing licensee and become
- 19 part of their system without doing it myself?
- 20 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Jack?
- 21 MR. LIEBAU: Jack Liebau from Santa Anita.
- I think the answer to this is out of every
- amount that's wagered on an advance deposit wagering
- 24 basis, two percent of that ultimately goes to the
- 25 satellites. So every satellite is already participating

- 1 under the law in each bet that's made via an account
- 2 wager.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: That begs the question
- 4 that I think was being asked. The question being asked
- 5 is could they affiliate with X hub.
- 6 MR. TRAMONTANO: TBG, for instance.
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: And we are an outgrowth of
- 8 that and we will take wagering from this machine to
- 9 another machine.
- MR. TRAMONTANO: Correct.
- 11 MR. LIEBAU: In fact, under the law, the last
- 12 paragraph of the section -- I think Mr. Reagan could
- 13 read it if necessary -- each satellite facility has the
- 14 right to take a wager and facilitate it through an
- 15 account wagerer and get two percent on that wager, and
- 16 the purpose of that was so that an account wagerer that
- 17 may have an expanded menu did not have an advantage over
- 18 any satellite.
- 19 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Does that answer your
- 20 question?
- MR. TRAMONTANO: Yeah, pretty much.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. Further
- 23 discussion or comment of Rule 2073? I'll entertain a
- 24 motion to approve it.
- 25 COMMISSION BIANCO: So move.

- 1 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Second.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Moved and seconded. All
- 3 in favor?
- 4 (Ayes.)
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 6 We're getting toward account wagering. That
- 7 should make a lot of people in this industry breathe
- 8 either hard or softly.
- 9 Moving on to Article 26, item E, Rule 2074,
- 10 requirements to establish an advance deposit wagering
- 11 account with a California entity.
- MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
- 13 Rule 2074 provides the procedures and
- 14 conditions for a California entity to establish an
- 15 advance deposit wagering account and it also specifies
- 16 the information that an individual will have to give in
- order to establish an account with the entity. Staff
- would recommend that the board adopt the rule as
- 19 presented.
- 20 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there discussion of
- 21 Rule 2074?
- 22 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: I'll move to approve it.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: No discussion. The motion
- has been made to approve. Is there a second?
- 25 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Second.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: It is seconded. All in
- 2 favor?
- 3 (Ayes.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 5 The motion is carried unanimously to adopt Rule
- 6 2074, requirements to establish an advance deposit
- 7 wagering account with a California entity.
- 8 Article 26, item F, Rule 2075, requirements to
- 9 establish an advance deposit wagering account with an
- 10 out-of-state hub. Is there a comment on this particular
- 11 provision? Hearing none, may I have a motion to adopt?
- MS. GRANZELLA: So move.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Second?
- 14 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Second.
- 15 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor of adopting
- 16 Rule 2075?
- 17 (Ayes.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 19 The rule is adopted, Rule 2075, requirements to
- 20 establish an advance wagering account with an
- 21 out-of-state hub.
- Moving on to Article 26, item G, Rule 2076,
- 23 deposits to an advance deposit wagering account with all
- 24 entities. Staff?
- MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.

1 Rule 2076 provides the conditions for account

- 2 holders to make a deposit to their advance deposit
- 3 wagering account and provides the conditions for the
- 4 entity that accepts the deposit. Staff would recommend
- 5 that the board adopt the proposal as presented.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there any discussion of
- 7 Rule 2076? May I have a motion then to approve?
- 8 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: So move.
- 9 COMMISSIONER GRANZELLA: Second.
- 10 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor?
- 11 (Ayes.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 13 The Rule 2076 is therefore adopted, deposits to
- 14 an advance deposit wagering account with all entities.
- Moving on to Article 26, item H, Rule 2077,
- 16 placing an advance deposit wager with all entities.
- 17 Staff?
- MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
- 19 Rule 2077 provides the conditions for placing
- 20 an advance deposit wager. Staff would recommend that
- 21 the board adopt the rule as presented.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there any discussion
- 23 now on rule 2077? Is there therefore a motion to
- 24 approve?
- 25 COMMISSIONER GRANZELLA: So move.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Seconded?
- 2 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor?
- 4 (Ayes.)
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 6 We move with considerable alacrity to Article
- 7 26, Rule 2078 withdrawals from an advance deposit
- 8 wagering account with all entities. Staff.
- 9 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
- 10 Rule 2078 provides the conditions for making
- 11 withdrawals of funds from an advance deposit wagering
- 12 account. Staff would recommend that the board adopt the
- 13 rule as presented.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there any discussion of
- Rule 2078 from the board or public? If not, I will
- 16 accept a motion to approve.
- 17 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: So move.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Seconded?
- 19 COMMISSIONER BIANCO: Second.
- 20 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: And all in favor?
- 21 (Ayes.)
- 22 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 23 Rule 2078 is therefore adopted, withdrawals
- 24 from an advance deposit wagering account with all
- 25 entities.

- 1 Article 26, Rule 2079, credit for winning
- wagers and scratched entries. Staff comment, please.
- 3 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
- 4 Rule 2079 provides the conditions for the
- 5 posting of a credit of a winning wager and a credit for
- 6 a scratched entry. Staff would recommend that the board
- 7 adopt the rule as presented.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there discussion of
- 9 Rule 2079? Yes, Greg.
- 10 MR. BADOVINAC: As stated earlier, and I'll
- 11 make this for the record, I encourage modification of
- 12 this rule to include cancellation of any wager by the
- 13 host track in the event that the host track has to
- 14 cancel wagering on a particular type of wager or the
- 15 rest of a card due to inclement weather or unsafe racing
- 16 conditions, that that area is unclear in this,
- 17 especially at the end with out-of-state areas.
- 18 MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, Greg makes an
- 19 interesting point. We do have existing on the books
- 20 right now 1544, Rule 1544, that discusses how to handle
- 21 cancellation of races, cancellation of race cards and so
- on and so forth. So we believe that's been covered.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Tom Blake is not for this.
- MR. BLAKE: I think so, and if experience
- 25 proves that it's confusing or ambiguous, the rule could

- 1 be later amended to clarify as Mr. Badovinac suggests.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. Given the
- 3 information, is there a motion to approve Rule 2079?
- 4 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: So move.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: And second?
- 6 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Second.
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor of approval?
- 8 (Ayes.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All opposed?
- 10 Therefore, Rule 2079 is approved, credit for
- 11 winning wagers and scratched entries.
- 12 Moving on Article 26, Rule 2080, proceeds from
- 13 a deceased account holder. Staff comment.
- 14 MS. WAGNER: Rule 28 provides the conditions
- 15 for the release of funds when an account holder is
- 16 deceased. Staff would recommend that the board adopt
- 17 the rule as presented.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there any discussion of
- 19 Rule 2080? None? If there is none from the board, I'll
- 20 entertain a motion to approve.
- 21 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: So move.
- 22 COMMISSIONER GRANZELLA: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Moved and seconded. All
- 24 in favor?
- 25 (Ayes.)

- 1 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All opposed?
- The rule therefore is adopted unanimously.
- 3 Rule 2080, proceeds from a deceased account holder, is
- 4 approved.
- 5 Article 26, Rule 2081, market access fee for
- 6 wagers placed by a California resident. Jackie?
- 7 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
- 8 Rule 2081 outlines the procedures and
- 9 conditions for the market access fees when a California
- 10 resident places an advance deposit wager. Staff would
- 11 recommend that the board adopt the rule as presented.
- 12 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Do we have discussion of
- this particular rule?
- 14 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I think Mr. Towne's
- 15 comments are well placed. I think that we don't have to
- 16 worry about this comment for quite some time, but it's
- 17 something that we can discuss in the future. Under C, I
- think we're covered that it is subject to the
- 19 designation by the board for the time being that should
- 20 be good enough.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Further discussion?
- 22 Therefore, I will entertain a motion to approve market
- 23 access fee for wagers placed by a California resident.
- 24 COMMISSIONER LICHT: So move.
- 25 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Second.

1 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor?

- 2 (Ayes.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 4 Rule 2081 is approved, market access fee for
- 5 wagers placed by a California resident is unanimously
- 6 approved.
- 7 Moving on to Rule 2082 of Article 26, interest
- 8 bearing accounts.
- 9 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
- 10 Rule 2082 specifies that the first \$250,000 of
- interest earned on a California resident's advance
- 12 deposit wagering account be split between the Horsemans
- 13 Welfare Fund and the Backstretch Pension Fund and that
- 14 this money be transferred annually. Staff would
- 15 recommend that the board adopt the rule as presented.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Any discussion of 2082?
- 17 MR. BADOVINAC: Greg Badovinac. Interesting
- 18 that the board is proposing in this rule to take money
- 19 from the bettor and tell account wagering entities how
- 20 to distribute that money when earlier today when dealing
- 21 with advertising on jockeys the whole issue of
- 22 government taking was brought up as, well, it's a great
- 23 cause, but I'm not sure that we can do it.
- 24 Within AB 471 there is no mention of what to do
- 25 with the interest earned on this money. Nowhere in the

74

- 1 law cited as the authority for this law is there
- 2 authority for board to require a private organization to
- 3 distribute interest earned on the money.
- I am not advocating it be returned to the
- 5 bettors. The regulatory costs far exceed the benefits.
- 6 However, New Jersey in its proposed advance deposit
- 7 wagering is leaving that decision to the account
- 8 wagering entity. It could be part of the negotiated
- 9 contract between the California racing associations and
- 10 the account wagering entities on what to do with that
- 11 money, but for the board to say that that money is being
- 12 taken from the public and given to these entities
- 13 because of regulation I believe is taking because there
- is no legal authority passed by the Legislature, signed
- 15 by the Governor. Yes, there may be provisions for
- 16 attorneys' trust funds, but I believe that there is law
- 17 for that. There is no law for this.
- I encourage rejection of Rule 2082. The
- 19 entities that will receive the money, they're deserving
- of our support, just as the Jockeys Guild is deserving
- of our support; but if you're not going to mandate that
- the jockeys give the money to the Disabled Riders Fund,
- 23 I think in the same way that you can't force the bettors
- 24 to give that money to the welfare fund and purse.
- 25 COMMISSIONER LICHT: First of all, nobody

- 1 mandated that the money, the jockeys' money, shouldn't
- 2 go to them. We just asked them to come back and make a
- 3 formal presentation. So I don't think that's an
- 4 accurate representation of what was said before.
- 5 Second of all, as you said, it would be
- 6 impossible or almost impossible for and unlikely for the
- 7 hubs to pass the money along to the account holder. And
- 8 so it was this rule was proposed to benefit the industry
- 9 as a whole and to help the industry that's supporting
- 10 the advance deposit wagering with money that otherwise
- 11 would funnel probably directly to the providers without
- 12 any kind of benefit to the account holder.
- MR. BADOVINAC: That's not necessarily the
- 14 case. If the entity that's holding the money has this
- 15 stream of revenue, then the likelihood for increasing
- 16 the per bet fee or the account maintenance fee or other
- 17 services to generate a fair return on their investment
- is decreased. Therefore, instead of charging 35 or 40
- 19 cents per bet they could probably lower it to 25 or 30
- 20 cents because they're using that money to offset the
- 21 cost to the betting public.
- 22 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: Or they could charge
- 23 no fee.
- 24 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I think there is
- 25 precedent for this, though, in carrying around vouchers

- 1 that aren't really earning interest for the bettors.
- Where does that money go, the money that's out there
- 3 from vouchers?
- 4 MR. REAGAN: From current wagering centers,
- 5 that would go to the official database, the CRIPS
- 6 database that the board has designated as the database.
- 7 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: So there is a recipient
- 8 there that is not the bettor.
- 9 MR. REAGAN: No.
- 10 MR. BADOVINAC: Sir, at the same time Hollywood
- 11 has a pick six carryover of \$83,000. That \$83,000 did
- 12 not belong to an individual. Where if I had a thousand
- dollars on deposit, those funds are allocated to me.
- 14 Each of those dollars that are in the interest bearing
- 15 account can be allocated to me or any of the other
- bettors, whereas the \$83,000 in the carryover or a
- 17 voucher, yes, I have a voucher for it, but whether,
- 18 Mr. Harris, you hold that or I hold that, you know, the
- 19 racetrack doesn't know that.
- 20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: Are you advocating
- 21 that the interest money on these accounts go to the
- 22 account holder?
- MR. BADOVINAC: No, I'm advocating that it be
- 24 up to -- New Jersey has a really good idea within its
- 25 proposed regulations. The internal procedures of the

- 1 licensees shall set forth procedures addressing the
- 2 allocation of such interest funds include as to their
- 3 disbursement to the account wagering licensee for
- 4 retention by it or for such use as the account wagering
- 5 licensee deemed appropriate.
- 6 If the account licensee wagering deems to make
- 7 a contribution to the welfare fund, that's its choice.
- 8 If it wishes to make a distribution to the great efforts
- 9 here at U. C. Davis, it has that choice. It can take
- 10 that money and benefit horse racing through its
- 11 voluntary decision, not because of regulatory mandate.
- 12 COMMISSIONER LICHT: It's not their money to
- 13 use. It's my money. If the money is in the account --
- 14 I haven't read the New Jersey regulation. I can't
- 15 believe what you're saying is accurate. My money is in
- 16 a TVG hub. How can TVG take that interest and use it to
- 17 make a donation? It's not their money to make to use
- 18 the interest on that account.
- MR. BADOVINAC: You are, as New Jersey,
- 20 mandating it be put into an interest bearing account.
- 21 Now, if you want to mandate that it's not put into an
- interest bearing account, then this whole issue goes
- away. But you have mandated earlier and you've already
- 24 adopted the requirement that the account wagering entity
- 25 put these monies into an interest bearing account. So

- 1 you've mandated that. Now, the question is, where does
- 2 that money go. Right now, according to the regulations
- 3 that you have adopted, that money belongs to the account
- 4 wagering entity.
- 5 COMMISSIONER LICHT: It does?
- 6 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: Not the entity.
- 7 COMMISSIONER LICHT: No.
- 8 MR. BLAKE: No, the law doesn't provide that,
- 9 but the law does preclude the payment of interest on it
- 10 to the bettor.
- MR. BADOVINAC: Which I am not advocating.
- 12 COMMISSIONER LICHT: It doesn't matter whether
- 13 you're advocating it, the law prohibits it.
- 14 MR. BADOVINAC: But it doesn't mandate that it
- go to entities specified by the board. It's unclear.
- 16 It belongs to the account wagering entity, and why can't
- 17 California be like the other states and say that that
- 18 decision is a business decision, part of the business
- 19 plan which they have to have to operate and how they use
- 20 that money.
- 21 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Sir, you keep quoting
- things that are just not accurate at all. There is no
- 23 regulation that I've ever seen in that's in place that
- 24 says that. There is a proposed regulation in New Jersey
- which you say which I have not seen. I don't think

- 1 there is one state in the nation that does what you say
- 2 that it states, and I would appreciate it if you would
- 3 quote the regulations accurately. Is there a state
- 4 where what you say is in effect?
- 5 MR. BADOVINAC: I quoted you New Jersey
- 6 proposed.
- 7 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Proposed.
- 8 MR. BADOVINAC: The same as you are proposing
- 9 here, sir.
- 10 COMMISSIONER LICHT: You just said two minutes
- 11 ago that we do the same thing that other states are
- 12 doing with respect to interest on those accounts. What
- 13 state is doing something?
- MR. BADOVINAC: You have mandated it in an
- interest bearing account.
- 16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WOOD: You need to
- 17 understand the regulations you speak of in New Jersey
- 18 are not even public proposals. Having the ability to
- 19 work with the people in New Jersey, I can tell you that
- 20 those are just draft forms. Those regulations have not
- 21 even been proposed, had public hearings or discussions.
- 22 So that's not in place. That is only an idea that they
- are looking at in their regulatory process.
- 24 If you want to answer Commissioner Licht's
- 25 question, what state has a regulation that requires the

- 1 distribution of those funds as you advocate?
- 2 MR. BADOVINAC: I do not know of any. It is in
- 3 the draft New Jersey regulations as I received from the
- 4 Attorney General's office of the State of New Jersey.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Greg, I'd like to say that
- 6 I appreciate the comments, I appreciate the time, I
- 7 appreciate the care. We are on a course here which can
- 8 be held up or not held up. We want comments that
- 9 strengthen our proposal, that make the regulations more
- 10 effective. If the comment is that we shouldn't be doing
- 11 the regulation or we shouldn't be putting this into our
- 12 rules and regs, I think we're -- what you're hearing is
- 13 an unwillingness on behalf of this board to take away a
- 14 potential source of good and goodwill in order to
- 15 satisfy your stance.
- I don't disagree with your stance in some
- 17 respects. On the other hand, I find that we are in
- 18 somewhat uncharted territory once again, and within
- 19 uncharted territory, we have to move willfully forward
- in order to get these things done.
- 21 Very few people will have taken the time, care
- 22 and energy that you put into it and I personally thank
- 23 you for that. I think we have to move along to where
- 24 we're going and just say thank you to all of your
- 25 participants.

- 1 Mr. Vandekamp, you have a comment.
- 2 MR. VANDEKAMP: Mr. Towne pointed out something
- 3 that I had been looking at with respect to this section.
- 4 I think there is really what amounts to a typo here.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. The section
- 6 that we're talking about now?
- 7 MR. VANDEKAMP: Yes, 2082. We're really
- 8 talking about the first \$250,000 of interest earned on
- 9 California residents', plural, accounts probably should
- 10 be the language because there are very few individuals
- 11 that are going to get up to \$250,000 a year. So I think
- 12 that's a gremlin that crept in.
- 13 I don't think this requires a sending back, but
- 14 I think it can be amended to do that. I thank
- 15 Mr. Towne, but I looked at it a couple of times, maybe
- 16 that will be construed to mean that, but we better be
- 17 careful.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I think we can make that
- 19 appropriate language change.
- 20 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Cliff Goodrich, would you
- 21 want to make a comment on the benefit of this proposed
- 22 law?
- MR. GOODRICH: Cliff Goodrich, representing the
- 24 California Thoroughbred Horsemans Foundation, and we do
- 25 have a selfish interest in this regulation in that we

- 1 would be entitled to share in one-half of the proceeds
- of whatever interest is generated up to the cap.
- I would only say, and I think Chairman
- 4 Landsburg put it best, this is a goodwill gesture. The
- 5 racing law especially is fraught with many things where
- 6 monies that one could make a case belong to the public
- 7 accrue to the benefit of various programs in the
- 8 industry, and I categorize that as goodwill. This is
- 9 another one.
- 10 I applaud, like Commissioner Landsburg, Greg's
- 11 tenacity, but in the perspective of things, we have a
- 12 roomful of people that I'm sure each and every one of
- 13 them where he'd like a piece of this money, they have no
- 14 problems with this. We have millions of people in
- 15 California who bet hundreds of millions of dollars a
- 16 year and we have one objection to this particular rule,
- 17 and I think that needs to be taken into perspective.
- 18 And at the end of the day, and I don't mean
- 19 this -- I'm not throwing darts at Greg. I appreciate
- 20 his time and effort. At the end of the day, if somebody
- 21 doesn't like the rules or where their money ends up,
- 22 they don't have to play the game. They are not forced
- 23 to open an account. That's freedom of choice.
- 24 This is very important to us, but in the big
- 25 picture, I think this is very consistent with other

- 1 actions both the law and the board have taken through
- 2 regulations.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Having thus said, is there
- 4 further comment? Then with the suggested wording change
- of Mr. Vandekamp and Mr. Towne, I will entertain a
- 6 motion to approve Rule 2082.
- 7 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: So move.
- 8 COMMISSIONER GRANZELLA: Second.
- 9 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Moved and seconded. All
- 10 in favor?
- 11 (Ayes.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- Therefore the rule is approved, Rule 2082,
- 14 interest bearing accounts, is approved with the
- 15 correction.
- 16 Article 26, Rule 2083, advance deposit wagering
- 17 prohibited. Jackie?
- 18 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. Rule
- 19 2083 prohibits those persons, prohibits specified
- 20 persons who are listed in 1969 of the board's rules from
- 21 also wagering on advance deposit wagering while on duty.
- 22 Staff would recommend that the board adopt the rule as
- 23 presented.
- 24 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Is there discussion of
- 25 this particular rule?

- 1 None. I will entertain a motion to approve.
- 2 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: I will make a motion.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Motion made.
- 4 COMMISSIONER GRANZELLA: Second.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Seconded. All in favor?
- 6 (Ayes.)
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed? Rule 2083 is
- 8 unanimously carried, advance deposit wagering
- 9 prohibited.
- 10 Therefore, this board has moved as quickly as
- 11 any board will ever move to present regulations which
- 12 will now move forward to the good hands of the Office of
- 13 Administrative Law. We will have to wait for those
- 14 rulings from OAL to come back. We hope they will be
- 15 favorable. We hope they will clear the path, but we do
- 16 not attempt in any way to influence them. We hope also
- 17 that they will be expeditious, but they have their ways
- 18 and their problems.
- 19 I would like to say, however, that in all of
- 20 our hard efforts, and Mr. Licht served on the committee
- 21 that helped put these rules together, the staff worked
- 22 three years to put these rules together and their work
- 23 has been yeoman in this. My one caution and my one
- 24 hope, this isn't the salvation of racing. This is
- 25 simply a step along a road that we all have to take.

- 1 Personally, I'm not speaking for the board, but
- 2 speaking for myself, if greed and business greed begins
- 3 to raise its ugly head and distort the process that this
- 4 account wagering can help foster, which is to garner new
- 5 fans to utilize what we are receiving for this account
- 6 wagering, new ways and better ways to market our
- 7 product, to gather audiences and instead determine that
- 8 we're going to pocket as much as we possibly can, I
- 9 salute this as enterprise, but I also would like to
- 10 issue a personal warning that greed will not be greeted
- 11 with a friendly shake from this person, and I hope I can
- 12 encourage the board to take severe action when greed
- outweighs the propriety of business.
- 14 Having said that, let us move on on this agenda
- unless there is a comment to that.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: We are moving on to item
- 17 eight, discussion and action by the board on the report
- 18 from the SCOTWINC Off-Site Stabling and Vanning Fund
- 19 Committee.
- 20 MR. VANDEKAMP: Yes, Mr. Chairman, John
- 21 Vandekamp on behalf the Off-Site Stabling and Vanning
- 22 Committee of SCOTWINC, and this relates to San Luis Rey
- Downs.
- I know the board wanted an update as to where
- 25 we stood with this. We're taking what I guess would be

- 1 steps, but not final steps, but to report to you the
- 2 action that was taken by that committee on November 8th,
- 3 it was voted unanimously to extend the payment of the
- 4 four dollar increase in stall rent for the San Luis Rey
- 5 Downs horsemen from December 31st 2001, to April 30th,
- 6 2002.
- 7 The committee also agreed that effective
- 8 December 26th, 2001, it would reimburse four dollars per
- 9 day per stall for 435 stalls rather than for the actual
- 10 number of horses on the ground. So that means that if
- 11 they have 350, they will still get the same amount as if
- they had 500. We were told, and I think there is good
- 13 evidence, that 435 stalls times their rate gives them at
- 14 least a break even point.
- 15 In addition, we will continue to April 30th,
- 16 2002, the 450 starter fee payment to owners whose horses
- 17 are stabled there. What this means essentially is that
- 18 Fairplex's contract ends on April 30th, 2002, and this
- 19 will go to April 30th, 2002. No final decisions have
- 20 been made by my board yet as to what should happen
- 21 beyond that point.
- 22 Laura Rosier is here today and has been very
- 23 eloquent, I know, before this board and certainly before
- our board and makes a case that I think has to be looked
- 25 at seriously that there should be some equitable

- 1 treatment between both facilities.
- We are faced with a conundrum here, and I think
- 3 those of you, I know, Commissioner Granzella and, you,
- 4 Chairman Landsburg, were there at a very good meeting we
- 5 had at Fairplex or at Santa Anita where Fairplex and San
- 6 Luis Rey Downs folks contributed to the meeting and we
- 7 really worked our way and talked through this issue.
- 8 Nearly every day in Southern California we have
- 9 over a thousand, probably closer to 1100 empty stalls
- 10 among the four facilities, and we seem to be paying more
- 11 and more and more for off-track stabling; and with fewer
- 12 number of horses starting, and, you know, there is a
- 13 push to try to reduce the amount of money that's going
- into this, compress the number of stalls that we're
- 15 actually paying for and at the same time to come up with
- 16 some kind of equitable treatment for both Fairplex and
- 17 San Luis Rey.
- 18 Our body is looking at a whole bunch of options
- 19 right now to recommend to the SCOTWINC committee and the
- 20 committee has talked through some of these. I don't
- 21 expect that we're going to have a report to you probably
- 22 till either late January or February. So I would
- 23 suggest -- I know that Ms. Rosier is here today and
- 24 deserves to be heard -- that we put this on for a
- 25 revisit by the board in I think around February.

- 1 COMMISSIONER LICHT: So you're saying through
- 2 April 30th you passed the four dollar supplement.
- 3 MR. VANDEKAMP: Yes, the very thing that we
- 4 supported and approved I think in September to the end
- of the year has now been extended out.
- 6 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: If there is no further
- 7 comment --
- 8 MS. ROSIER: Laura Rosier, and I brought
- 9 another chart. Thanks to Mr. Licht's idea, we changed
- 10 the format of our chart this time to also show what type
- 11 of horses we're running and how we're doing. That was
- 12 one of the suggestions that he had and it was a good
- 13 question and it helped us also to again reevaluate where
- we are and what we're doing.
- 15 It's pretty self-explanatory. You can see that
- 16 Fairplex and San Luis Rey during the Oak Tree meet,
- 17 which is the last meet we have, each won five races,
- 18 this is the total of Fairplex purse money, this is the
- 19 total of San Luis Rey Downs purse money, this is the
- 20 total of in the money, in the money, giving us the total
- 21 prizes of money that we brought home to our owners and
- 22 Fairplex brought home to their owners.
- 23 Again, I don't like having to be compared to
- 24 Fairplex because I don't believe that this is an issue
- 25 between Fairplex and San Luis Rey Downs, but because

- 1 this seems to be the numbers that you're looking for,
- 2 this is what I bring. But I think that all subsidized
- 3 facilities should be considered and I think that the
- 4 people in charge of the funding should be watching how
- 5 the money is spent and what it's being used for.
- 6 Is there any questions about that, the chart?
- 7 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: No, the chart is quite
- 8 clear. I would like to say to you that I want to put
- 9 your picture in a dictionary under the word persistence.
- 10 And I appreciate it. I think that it's a kind of energy
- 11 that I wish other parts of racing had for their own
- 12 interests and for the interests of the sport in general.
- I don't think that any of us now, largely
- 14 through the efforts of you and the people who have
- 15 supported you in this, that any of us believes that we
- 16 want to continue what is inequities. We have a
- 17 procedure in front of us that I think is logical that
- 18 now will be able to bring all the facts and issues to
- 19 bear at one moment in time which we've never had before;
- and if you can be patient, if you can maintain your
- 21 persistence, that we will come in the month of February
- 22 much closer to being able to adjudicate what this board
- 23 has to adjudicate on your behalf as well as the
- 24 committees both SCOTWINC, or SCOTWINC in this case be
- able to have in front of them logical proposals that

- will allow for the survival and flourishing of off-site
- 2 stabling.
- I can't predict what will happen, but I can
- 4 only say that your patience would be appreciated at this
- 5 moment in time. We are in the process of considering a
- 6 number of rather weighty issues, and we have heard this
- 7 issue before. I'm not putting you down for being here.
- 8 I applaud you for it.
- 9 MS. ROSIER: Thank you.
- 10 COMMISSIONER LICHT: Are you comfortable with
- 11 extending the way it's done now till April and doing it
- in February?
- 13 MS. ROSIER: Not really. If we don't request
- 14 the additional funding, and I kind of was under the
- 15 impression that SCOTWINC was going to come up here and
- 16 ask for additional funding for next year and this needed
- 17 to be done at this meeting.
- 18 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I think that is --
- MR. REAGAN: The next item.
- 20 MS. ROSIER: Okay. Maybe I'll see it in then.
- 21 This is what we received at the meeting that I recall
- 22 Ms. Granzella and Mr. Landsburg and Mr. Roy Minami were
- 23 at. When we received this at the moment that we were
- there, Simpkin and Win Baker and I, we thought that they
- 25 were seriously considering taking up our cause on

- 1 equality, and it shows right here how that can be done.
- 2 They have already thought it through, but it doesn't
- 3 look like it's that complicated of a procedure to ask
- 4 for .82 percent rather than, I think right now it's at
- 5 .65. I may be wrong, and I'm sure they know exactly
- 6 what that amount is right now; but from my
- 7 understanding, if we didn't get this rate at this
- 8 meeting, there is a good chance that this might go for
- 9 another year. And the problem with the horsemen at San
- 10 Luis Rey Downs, owners and trainers alike, that this
- 11 seems to drag through year and year and after year and
- 12 nobody considers what a stress and strain it is on our
- 13 horsemen. And if we wait now till February and possibly
- 14 we hear that all funding for Fairplex and San Luis Rey
- and whatever is going to stop, that only gives us two
- 16 months to sell our homes and leave the state or whatever
- 17 we choose to do.
- 18 If you say that's the way it is, that's the way
- 19 it is. But I'm just saying it is really a stressful
- 20 situation on the horsemen everywhere not knowing what's
- 21 going to happen next, and we hear rumors that it's all
- 22 going to be cut off or rumors that we really do have an
- issue of equality here and that it's going to be
- 24 rectified, but each month thousands of dollars are
- 25 coming out of individual trainers' pockets to fund their

- 1 stabling while their fellow competitors are not having
- 2 to pay anything, and that's why it's hard to be patient
- 3 and to go back and face the fury when they say why don't
- 4 you do this, why don't you say that, don't they
- 5 understand. It's just hard to go back without a
- 6 definitive answer.
- 7 I asked Mr. Vandekamp when we talked on the
- 8 phone and he told me what the proposal would be if we
- 9 had any idea what would happen on April 30th because
- 10 that may give me a chance to hold down the fort. I mean
- 11 there is a lot of people that are just busting at the
- 12 seams. We want to contact the media, we want to contact
- 13 our local representatives, you know, and we do have very
- 14 much support from our county and our local residents.
- 15 And we just keep saying hold on. We can do this without
- 16 causing conflict. We don't want to make a ruckus.
- We want things -- like the Racing Form, that
- 18 was kind of a controversial article that came out this
- 19 morning, and we don't want to get into that. But there
- 20 are some people that when I go home I'm going to have to
- 21 step back and they're going to do what they want to do
- 22 because who am I to stop them.
- 23 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: We live in a wonderful
- 24 society that purports to be totally free, and if they
- 25 chose to do that, I would say they have every right to

- 1 do that if their angers and emotions are such. If
- 2 they're realistic, it will do very little good and may
- 3 stir a hornets' nest, and I would warn them that
- 4 stirring a nest of hornets now when you are so
- 5 relatively close to having the proposition you began
- 6 with to become acceptable to a great many people within
- 7 this industry, that a wanton act at this moment in time,
- 8 meaning an act that is counterproductive, might injure
- 9 the cause more than helping.
- 10 We understand, I believe -- I do not believe we
- 11 can do anything at this juncture because of the status
- 12 of who does run this venture. The SCOTWINC fund is not
- 13 totally under our control in any way, shape or form.
- 14 However, we've heard your plea, we respect it and this
- 15 board, at least I will hope that the board will take
- 16 every step to see that something is done that helps and
- 17 supports racing in California, which is our venue.
- 18 It is not our -- individually I believe you do
- 19 help racing in California, but I think that we have to
- 20 move on knowing that by February we should be very close
- 21 to resolution for you, and that resolution is aimed
- 22 toward what will happen on the 30th of April, giving
- you, I'm afraid, only two months to make a
- 24 determination, but I think the determination is more
- 25 likely to be positive than negative.

- 1 COMMISSIONER LICHT: This is a very effective
- 2 piece that you added, at least as far as I'm concerned.
- 3 MS. ROSIER: Credit's due. Oh, one more thing,
- 4 I don't want to get in trouble for not telling Mr. Licht
- 5 this, but Mr. Capestral that spoke at our meeting wanted
- 6 to let you know that his filly won that day and she came
- 7 back and won another one right in a row. So he says to
- 8 be sure and also to apologize that the gentlemen aren't
- 9 here today because they thought we were supposed to be
- 10 in Cypress and at the last minute I was the only one
- 11 that was able to come.
- 12 Also, I wasn't sure if any of you noticed that
- we won the Cal Cup juvenile fillies with Lady George.
- 14 So I just want to make sure we get those credits in, and
- 15 I might come up after SCOTWINC talks to you again.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. I don't know
- 18 how long this is going to take, the discussion of the
- 19 SCOTWINC, and we've been here without a break. I notice
- 20 a number of people looking restlessly at the door simply
- 21 to get a break. We also have to set up at the same time
- 22 a presentation for the equine postmortem program. So I
- 23 suggest now we take a 10 minute break and come back,
- 24 finish the Southern California. For all of your
- 25 knowledge, item 10 has been withdrawn from the agenda.

95

```
1 (Recess taken.)
```

- 2 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: As we reconvene, ladies
- 3 and gentlemen, we are now moving on to item nine on the
- 4 agenda, a discussion and action by the board on the
- 5 request of the Southern California Off-Track Wagering,
- 6 Incorporated, to adjust off-site stabling and vanning
- 7 takeout percentage.
- 8 MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, this is a situation
- 9 where the SCOTWINC Stabling and Vanning Fund which has
- 10 been in existence over a decade makes an annual
- 11 adjustment to make sure that the revenues and expenses
- 12 are within the legal limit for the 10 percent reserve
- 13 base. Based on what we heard on the prior item and
- other things, SCOTWINC is asking to increase from .60 to
- 15 .74.
- 16 This seems to be a reasonable request based on
- the new expenses they might have as well as some
- 18 adjustments to the handle for account wagering, and
- 19 staff recommends you approve this request.
- 20 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. Is there any
- 21 discussion now of this particular item?
- 22 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Just to clarify, so
- 23 SCOTWINC would not receive any funds from the account
- 24 wagering. So that could be a hit for them.
- MR. REAGAN: Exactly.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Further discussion?
- Since there is no further discussion, I would
- 3 like to make one comment and then go for approval just
- 4 to say to those of you who haven't seen the item or the
- 5 information, Al Karwacki, our long time and very valued
- 6 head of SCOTWINC is retiring soon for the golden fields
- 7 of old age. We applaud your efforts in the past and
- 8 wish you the best when your retirement becomes effective
- 9 and, Al, thank you for all the good work.
- 10 (Applause.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: And now may I hear a
- 12 motion to approve item nine, the requested Southern
- 13 California off-track wagering to adjust the off-site
- 14 stabling and vanning takeout percentage.
- 15 COMMISSIONER GRANZELLA: So move.
- 16 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Moved. Second?
- 17 COMMISSION BIANCO: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor?
- 19 (Ayes.)
- 20 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 21 It is unanimously carried. The requested
- 22 Southern California off-track wagering to adjust
- 23 off-track stabling and vanning takeout percentage.
- Moving on now item 10 has been withdrawn from
- 25 today's agenda. Item 11, University of California at

- 1 Davis School of Veterinary Medicine who has our total
- 2 admiration for their efforts. We would like to see
- 3 their presentation on the equine postmortem program.
- 4 Alex, would you identify yourself for the
- 5 record?
- 6 DR. ARDANS: Thank you, Commissioner. I'm
- 7 director of the California Animal Food & Health Safety
- 8 Laboratory here on the UCD campus. First again I would
- 9 like to just extend our welcome and add to what Dean
- 10 Osborne already said to you. We very much appreciate
- 11 the opportunity to host you in this facility and we hope
- that we'll have the opportunity again in the future.
- 13 This laboratory is a very special place for us.
- 14 Not only does it allow the newest in technology and
- 15 approach for the horse chemistry or drug program here in
- 16 California, but it is a memory to the late Senator
- 17 Maddy. He was very much involved in this program,
- 18 probably more so than a lot of you appreciate, because
- 19 during the construction, I think he was here at least
- 20 three times. He was following the way this building was
- 21 coming together. It was his program.
- 22 And I had a very special treat after the
- 23 dedication in November of 1999. His mother was ill at
- that time and she couldn't attend the dedication, and he
- 25 called about two weeks later and asked if he could bring

- 1 his mother over. And that was a very special occasion
- 2 for me to see the senator take his mother through this
- 3 facility. We didn't have to explain anything. He told
- 4 his mother exactly what was going to go on in each of
- 5 the rooms. That again was very special. That's one of
- 6 the reasons that this building is so special to a lot of
- 7 us here.
- 8 We appreciate the opportunity to present a bit
- 9 of our efforts on the postmortem program. I will
- 10 apologize here in advance because we do not have a
- 11 report here today. We had it ready and yesterday we
- 12 looked at it and there was a couple glitches in it. We
- 13 have redone a couple charts and we'll get it in the mail
- to you in a timely manner.
- I thought I might go through, though, because
- 16 there are a number of new commissioners on the program
- 17 who may not know the genesis, the background of this
- 18 program.
- 19 This program started back in 1990, and it was
- at the board's encouragement that we take a look at what
- 21 was going on in the California racing environment. So
- 22 with just a few slides I'd like to review the program
- 23 and try and explain to you who we are. I'm sure some of
- 24 you think it's strange that the horse postmortem program
- is in an animal health and food safety laboratory

- 1 system.
- 2 I'd like just go through and talk very briefly
- 3 about who we are. We are a laboratory system that is
- 4 comprised of five laboratories throughout the State of
- 5 California. Now, primarily the horses are examined in
- 6 the Davis laboratory from the northern part of the
- 7 state. The horses that are in the southern part of the
- 8 state go to our San Bernardino laboratory.
- 9 Now, this is who we are as a laboratory system.
- 10 We have many missions, and primarily the main reason
- 11 that state government supports a laboratory like ours is
- 12 for the disease control, the surveillance mechanism that
- 13 we offer the state for those catastrophic diseases such
- 14 as foot and mouth disease, render pest, African horse
- 15 sickness, those catastrophic diseases that we don't
- 16 have.
- 17 As depicted here, we also are a significant
- 18 factor in the state surveillance for mad cow, or the
- 19 bovine spongiform encephalopathy.
- 20 We provide services to our production
- 21 industries, we have a strong commitment to food safety.
- We are the State of California's official milk
- 23 laboratory as far as regulation of milk products in the
- 24 state.
- 25 We have a public health interest, and some of

- 1 you may have seen recently there was an anthrax outbreak
- 2 in the State of California here or in Santa Clara
- 3 County. This laboratory was the laboratory where the
- 4 diagnosis was made.
- 5 We obviously have a commitment to the horse
- 6 industry, and we have a strong commitment to developing
- 7 new entities and describing these entities. This
- 8 happens to be an aborted calf fetus here, and I've
- 9 isolated a new parasite here which we've also seen,
- 10 interestingly enough, on the odd occasion can and does
- 11 affect horses and affects horses in their central
- 12 nervous system infecting the spinal cord. We know in
- 13 California now this parasite that is referred to as
- 14 niaspore is one of the significant causes of abortion in
- 15 our dairy cow.
- Just showing you how we're funded, our money
- 17 comes primarily from the Department of Food and
- 18 Agriculture. We receive no money out of the University
- 19 of California's budget. Our money comes in on a
- 20 one-time basis from the Department of Food and
- 21 Agriculture, and that's how we are organized.
- Now, back to the postmortem program. The
- postmortem program, as I said, started in 1990, and the
- 24 board came to us because they were concerned about the
- 25 number of catastrophic injuries that were occurring on

- 1 the racetrack, and they posed three questions to us at
- 2 that time. First, what's causing these injuries;
- 3 secondly, what is the reason behind these injuries; and,
- 4 then, what can be done about it.
- Now, the way the program functions is the board
- 6 provides for the postmortem examinations, and that's
- 7 done on any horse that dies or is euthanized on a
- 8 California racetrack or a facility under the control of
- 9 CHRB. The racing associations pay for the
- 10 transportation of the animal to our laboratory and then
- there is a charge made, as I mentioned, which CHRB
- 12 funds.
- Now, just going through some of our results,
- 14 this is the chart on the injuries that have occurred in
- 15 this past calendar year. So this is for the calendar
- 16 year of 2000. And you can see that there were 262
- 17 animals that we examined during this period and you can
- 18 see the nature of the causes here.
- Just very briefly, nonexercise means those
- 20 horses that had a severe disease, a respiratory or
- 21 pneumonia or a gastrointestinal disease or some of the
- 22 odd things like that. Usually we see that the racing
- and training injuries are pretty similar in numbers.
- 24 The thing that we would really like to have, though, is
- 25 we'd like really to know the population at risk here so

- 1 that we could see over a period of years is this program
- 2 making a difference.
- 3 We have the numerator, but we don't have a
- 4 denominator. We don't know how many horses really are
- 5 at risk in this population. We'll talk about this a
- 6 little more here.
- 7 When we look at the age of the horses here and
- 8 then look at the type of injuries or reasons for their
- 9 death, and you can see in the two-year-olds, it's pretty
- 10 well even between the nonexercise, the racing and
- 11 training, and we think a lot of this is because this is
- 12 when a lot of youngsters are going to the track for the
- 13 first time. There's more respiratory disease in the
- 14 younger horse when they first hit the track, and you can
- 15 see that number tapers off as the horse gets older; but
- 16 then you can see in the three- and the four-year-old the
- injuries are pretty well spread between or pretty evenly
- 18 distributed between racing and training.
- 19 Then if you look by breed, I think we don't
- 20 have to go through all of this, but if you just compare
- 21 the quarter horse, for example, most of their injuries
- occur during racing. There's very few of the
- 23 catastrophic injuries occur during training, whereas
- with the thoroughbred it's pretty much even between
- 25 racing and training. This material and other material

- will be in the report that you will get.
- Then when we look at it by age and by breed,
- 3 again you can see some of the similar distributions as
- 4 we talked about before.
- 5 Then when we look at the parts of the body that
- 6 are involved, it's predominantly the musculoskeletal,
- 7 those injuries involving bones and the locomotor portion
- 8 of the animal's body, and then the other injuries or
- 9 reasons for death are spread out here and distributed
- 10 like as depicted here. About five percent of the deaths
- 11 were due to a respiratory condition, about five percent
- 12 due to something of an intestinal nature and then you
- 13 can see the other remaining causes.
- We put this up just to show you just very
- 15 quickly where the injuries are occurring in the animal's
- 16 body. You can see that the major of the injuries occur
- in the forelimbs as is to be expected. And you see
- again the injuries in the sesamoids or to the sesamoids
- 19 are the predominant injury that we see, a number in the
- 20 cannon bone here and then you can see the distribution
- in the other bone.
- Then you see the rear limbs not near the number
- of injuries as in the front limbs, but if you just pay
- 24 attention to the structure of this pelvis, kind of
- 25 orient yourself and I will show you a couple slides here

- 1 in just a moment here.
- 2 One of the early things that was seen, and this
- 3 is the humerus, and this would be our bone here from our
- 4 shoulder to our elbow. We were seeing a number of these
- 5 type fractures. It almost looked like something was
- 6 torquing this bone and you would see these spiral
- 7 fractures.
- 8 Dr. Stover here came over and she is not only
- 9 an anatomist, but she is a board certified surgeon, and
- 10 she wanted to look at these type of injuries to see if
- 11 there were methods by which you could fix these type of
- 12 bones. So she took some of these humeri back to her
- laboratory, and you can see what they found very early
- in this study.
- 15 Here's this white fluffy material around the
- 16 top of the fracture site, and when you look at this as a
- 17 closeup, here's this white fluffy material that we refer
- 18 to as a callus. What this is, this is the bone's
- 19 attempt to heal a fracture. It essentially builds a
- 20 bridge, but here's a fracture right here. Here was a
- 21 stress fracture, a preexisting stress fracture that
- 22 hadn't completely healed and there was a weakness in
- that bone, and with repetitive stress, then the bone
- 24 finally was fatigued and you end up with the
- 25 catastrophic fracture like that.

- 1 Now, the significance of something like this is
- 2 that you cannot see that with the regular x-ray.
- 3 Additional technology had to be employed, and that's
- 4 where the bone scanner has come in, or nuclear
- 5 centigraphy. Now, Santa Anita has that on the
- 6 racetrack. I think it's probably the only racetrack in
- 7 the country that has nuclear centigraphy or bone
- 8 scanning available.
- 9 The industry responded very quickly. Within
- 10 the first 16 months after this program began, Santa
- 11 Anita, through the Southern California Equine
- 12 Foundation, had this scanner on the racetrack, and you
- 13 can see just a number of the scans that they use, as
- 14 here where you see these light spots, that means that's
- an area of a stress fracture.
- 16 It was interesting to see how many horses were
- scanned in the early days and how many of these horses
- 18 had stress fractures. Dr. Stover through her work has
- 19 seen that probably at least 30 percent of all the horses
- 20 that suffer fatal catastrophic injuries have a
- 21 preexisting stress fracture.
- 22 Now, that wasn't necessarily just confined to
- 23 the humerus. That's the first one that Dr. Stover and
- her group started working on, but we had a pathologist
- 25 in our laboratory in San Bernardino. There was a horse

- 1 that had worked at Del Mar on a Friday and they found
- 2 the horse on Saturday morning was down in its stall with
- 3 a fractured pelvis, sent it into the San Bernardino
- 4 laboratory.
- 5 Our pathologist examined that pelvis very
- 6 closely, and you can see that there are six fractures in
- 7 that pelvis as you go around, but if you look at those
- 8 then closely, here in one of the fracture sites you can
- 9 see it very clearly, here was a preexisting stress
- 10 fracture and here was the bone trying to heal itself by
- 11 putting this bridge across the fracture site. There
- were those stress fractures in every one of the six
- 13 fracture sites in that pelvis.
- 14 But from that, Dr. Stover and her group had
- 15 designed some new angles that they can use in the
- scanning tube to see these pelvic stress fractures.
- Now, we don't just -- we look at the entire
- 18 animal, and sometimes we'll see horses that go down for
- 19 no reason. We have a category that we refer to as the
- 20 sudden death, and we always look at the heart in those
- 21 horses. And I would draw your attention here to these
- 22 vessels here on the surface of the heart. These are the
- 23 coronary arteries, and these are the arteries that in
- 24 humans they get plugged, and when an individual has
- 25 bypass surgery, these are the arteries that they are

- going to put the graft in to go around the blockage.
- Now, if you take and make a cut right straight
- 3 across one of those arteries there, this is what it
- 4 looks like. It essentially it looks like a pipe.
- 5 You've got this muscle in the wall of the vessel, but
- 6 you can see it has a nice, clean area for the blood to
- 7 flow through.
- 8 Now, there was a horse that worked that we
- 9 presented very early in our program had worked on
- 10 Christmas Day down south and came off the track and
- 11 collapsed. And this is this individual's coronary
- 12 arteries. This is the only -- this is where we had that
- 13 large area here. This is the only area that there was
- 14 available for blood to be flowing through that coronary
- 15 artery. It's amazing that horse was doing as well as he
- 16 was for as long as he had.
- 17 You can see this is where the blood ought to
- 18 have this whole area to be flowing through, but that's
- 19 all filled in with scar tissue.
- Now, here's another one. Here's the surface of
- 21 the heart, if you can imagine it, we've made a cut down
- through the muscle. And here's one of these arteries.
- 23 Here's a plug, and that's exactly what happens in
- humans. When individuals have heart attacks, they'll
- 25 get a plug in their artery just like this, and this is

- 1 what the heart muscle looks like in an individual that
- 2 suffers a heart attack. You see this diffuse hemorrhage
- 3 or the blood out in the muscle like that.
- 4 Now, there will be occasions where we don't see
- 5 anything grossly like this, and then you have to go to
- 6 the microscope. There was a horse that went down at
- 7 Golden Gate. There was a lot of chatter went on about
- 8 that horse, that the horse had been drugged and all
- 9 kinds of stuff, but when they opened the heart and got
- 10 down to the microscope, and I know this probably won't
- 11 mean a lot, but what the heart muscle ought to look like
- 12 is more like this area down here, more pink, but you can
- 13 see there is a lot of cells in this area.
- 14 This horse had evidence of a previous infection
- 15 that had involved its heart. We talk about the
- 16 electrical system of the heart, and this is the area
- where the impulse comes into the heart to keep it
- 18 beating, and we talk about -- I refer to it somewhat as
- 19 a junction box, and then the wires spread out from there
- 20 to regulate the beating of the heart. Well, you have
- 21 this inflammation around this junction box and
- 22 essentially the heart shorts out.
- Now, this is a lesion that is seen or a change
- 24 that is seen in the military recruits that are taken on
- 25 some of these forced marches after they have gone

- 1 through influenza and things like that and they lose
- 2 some of these young military recruits. This is just
- 3 like the lesion that we see in these horses. And we've
- 4 seen this in babies also. There have been some younger
- 5 horses that have gone through respiratory conditions.
- 6 There was one that we knew of that was turned out, the
- 7 horse, the youngster ran out, ran around the paddock and
- 8 dropped dead. The horse had this exact same lesion.
- 9 So that is a bit of an overview of what we do
- 10 and how the postmortem program operates. We're very
- 11 fortunate that we have committed individuals in our
- 12 school that we can take the material or they can take
- 13 the material coming out of this program and do the
- 14 extensive research. There has been some elegant work
- that has been done through Dr. Stover and her graduate
- 16 students, and I'll turn it over to Sue now.
- DR. STOVER: Thank you. My name is Susan
- 18 Stover. I'm from the teaching School of Veterinary
- 19 Medicine and I'm also associated with Veterinary
- 20 Orthopedic Research Laboratory.
- I think it's always helpful, for me anyway, to
- 22 remind ourselves that the postmortem program, the
- 23 foundation of it, certainly the California Horse Racing
- 24 Board's postmortem program, but that we're very
- 25 fortunate in the State of California to have a number of

- 1 components within the School of Veterinary Medicine that
- 2 can allow to us take advantage of these and take these
- 3 findings possibly a little further than they otherwise
- 4 could possibly be taken.
- 5 Through this program we've in general first
- 6 learned what the causes of death were in the racehorses.
- 7 In general, we have approximately, as Dr. Ardans
- 8 mentioned, over 80 percent of the deaths result from
- 9 musculoskeletal injuries. We can break those out by
- 10 leg, but when we looked at the initial findings we found
- 11 a much higher rate in the humerus. So, as mentioned,
- 12 this was the first bone that we looked at, actually for
- a variety of reasons.
- 14 The significance of finding this callus around
- 15 this fracture in the bone really was great. It told us
- 16 that these injuries were not the result of a sudden bad
- 17 step on the racetrack, but were the result of an
- 18 accumulation of factors over the previous several months
- 19 that now give us an opportunity, if we can better
- 20 understand the problem, we can design strategies to
- 21 intervene and prevent these injuries. So this was
- 22 actually a huge landmark for us.
- 23 As Dr. Ardans already mentioned, we also
- learned about these specific injuries and that we do not
- 25 find these detected by radiographing that's used by

- 1 technicians in practice. With the in-station bone unit
- 2 at San Anita, we could pick these up so they could be
- 3 appropriately treated.
- 4 I think this is just one example of a discovery
- of the postmortem program, allowed us to look at these
- 6 closer, develop further knowledge and, with that
- 7 knowledge, enhance our abilities so the horses could be
- 8 appropriately treated and not end up in a postmortem
- 9 program.
- 10 A second example of that, and we could show
- 11 more, the pelvic stress fractures first discovered on
- 12 the postmortem as part of the cause of death in these
- horses and through better understanding where they
- 14 occur, we redesigned bone scan techniques so the area
- would not be superimposed on other hot spots, we could
- 16 in fact detect and not miss them, and we also find with
- 17 routine ultrasound, which is available to many
- 18 practitioners, they could be picked up without expensive
- 19 diagnostic techniques.
- 20 We continue to look at many bones throughout
- 21 the body and in general over 95 percent of fractures of
- 22 what we call large bones, long bones are associated with
- 23 these preexisting stress fractures, including not only
- 24 fractures of the forelimb, the hind limb, but also the
- spine; and so, consequently, we know that over 30

- 1 percent of our deaths are related to this process which
- 2 is related to repetitive overuse injuries.
- 3 Now, the processes we were able to examine the
- 4 bones with both histology and microspectroscope stress
- fractures in people. This is an example of scanning
- 6 electric micrograph of a fracture of a humerus. If you
- 7 can liken this to a tree trunk on the right-hand side
- 8 with a limb extending out to the left, imagine someone
- 9 jumping up down on a tree limb that's going to buckle on
- 10 the bottom side and split on the top.
- 11 The exact same process is happening with the
- 12 accumulation of stress, we get a lot a stiffness, loss
- 13 of strength and eventually it fails under normal loading
- 14 conditions, just like the axle on our cars might. But
- 15 with living animals, another thing that affects whether
- 16 these go on to repair or fracture is a process itself
- which happens to be the healing process.
- 18 Bones, any time any of us when we walk down the
- 19 hallway, race in a marathon or a horse on the racetrack,
- 20 those cracks traumatize regions of bone. Our body
- 21 attempts to repair by first removing the bone, which we
- 22 can get instances of osteoporosis just like osteoporosis
- 23 in elderly individuals. This creates just like
- 24 perforations on a postage stamp, a very predictable and
- very easy way to fracture the bone like we can the

- 1 stamp. So we learned a lot about the process.
- We can then recognize that whether or not these
- 3 bones go on to heal. If we see a humeral stress
- 4 fracture radiographically showing evidence of healing or
- 5 to complete fracture like some of our racehorses
- 6 unfortunately sustain, but that's really a tight balance
- 7 between the rate of accommodation of damage and how fast
- 8 the body can repair it.
- 9 So next we thought, well, we've looked at the
- 10 major long bones in the body, but does this process
- 11 affect other fractures and in particular in this
- 12 instance relative to joints, instead of just long bones
- 13 itself, joints.
- 14 We first looked at carpal chip fractures and
- 15 knee or knee chips as they're commonly called and we
- 16 found that the same process is occurring. If we look at
- 17 this histologic section on the lower right, you see an
- 18 area that's more lucent than the surrounding bone
- 19 tissue. That's an area of osteoporosis associated with
- 20 fracture, and the other knee actually ended up with a
- 21 chip fracture, something that commonly affects our
- 22 racehorses, but not commonly a cause of death.
- We look at lateral condylar fractures, which
- 24 are fractures of the cannon bone that go into fetlock
- 25 joint. This is an example of a radiographing of a horse

- 1 with a lateral condylar fracture. We have actually a
- 2 specimen from the postmortem program where we take these
- 3 back so we can visualize. We see the same sort of
- 4 preexisting process when looking at scanning electronic
- 5 microscopy. This looks like a huge pattern. We've got
- 6 a focal area of osteoporosis just like those stress
- 7 risers that are intentionally put in bags like peanuts.
- 8 Weakness. So, yes, in fact, this process we studied the
- 9 long bones with preexisting stress fractures is
- 10 affecting your fractures in joints as well.
- 11 So our next is, does this process result also
- in arthritis in joints in racehorses so commonly. So
- one of the things that we quite recently looked at was
- 14 traumatic osteochondrosis in the fetlock joint which
- occurs in all fetlocks, not just the fore or the behind.
- 16 We see, if we can look at the fracture on the left, that
- fragment is missing, which is where we saw that
- 18 osteoporosis is in this same location as the lesion in
- 19 the cartilage that covers the joint surfaces in the
- 20 joint.
- 21 We examined those surfaces in a large number of
- 22 horses that unfortunately ended up in the postmortem
- 23 program, and we can see these underlying changes develop
- 24 and preexist which leads to collapse of cartilage within
- 25 the joint and arthritis that progresses to, in general,

- 1 more severe arthritis and not in general reversible.
- 2 So, now, the things that we moved from are the
- 3 long bone fracture associated with preexisting stress
- fractures, but we've been able to extend that to
- 5 fractures that go into joints and also conditions within
- 6 joints that lead to arthritis and reduced performance in
- 7 racehorses.
- 8 So if we actually add all this up and look in
- 9 our original chart, assuming that 70 percent of the
- fractures are in the forelimb, we're well over
- 11 accounting for a process that accounts for 50 percent of
- 12 the injuries in our horses.
- 13 In concert with this, this information that we
- 14 learned from the program gives us insight in questions
- 15 we should ask at the racetrack, and we subsequently went
- 16 to the racetrack and followed some live horses for three
- 17 months and we found that a huge percentage of horses are
- 18 actually being lost to racing because of milder
- 19 musculoskeletal injuries that we think are just a less
- 20 severe manifestation of the process we've seen in the
- 21 postmortem program. If you can imagine losing 19
- 22 percent of your clients every three months, you'll
- 23 probably think your business wasn't very healthy.
- So what we then do figure out, well, if we can
- 25 understand the process and we know it can either go to

- 1 healing and continued racing and training of healthy
- 2 horse or a fracture and potentially some healing but
- 3 potentially death, we look at the factors that can be
- 4 controlled. And those factors are the rate we can't
- 5 actually control as well the rate of repair, which is
- 6 somewhat fixed, but we can affect the accumulation of
- 7 damage and the amount that accumulates is directly
- 8 related to the distance that the horse runs in a period
- 9 of time, and the higher speeds, the more damage.
- 10 So this led us to look at racing records of
- 11 horses, and here we have two plotted throughout their
- 12 career from when they started through the same time that
- one of the horses unfortunately had a catastrophic
- injury; and throughout that career we add up the
- 15 distance that they're performing at high speed exercise.
- 16 We can also see the slope. You see through these lines
- 17 the rate of accumulation of high speed distances is
- 18 higher for horses who have a catastrophic injury than
- 19 those are don't, meaning if we can modulate the
- training, which is easier said than done, we can have an
- 21 effect on controlling rate of injury.
- 22 In fact, if you look through the time that a
- 23 horse was injured and compare its previous six months of
- 24 activity, we find horses in the red which had a
- 25 catastrophic injury had this much more distance at high

- 1 speed exercise than those who continue to train
- 2 uninjured.
- 3 Now, another thing that we found when we looked
- 4 at these records is really, to me at least, an alarming
- 5 rate of layups. We already know that 19 to 20 percent
- 6 can be lost in a three-month period just from mild
- 7 injuries. If we look at these racing records, we find
- 8 for us these horses race consistently for a while, they
- 9 start to accumulate high speed exercise and layup. Not
- 10 only is the cost horses dying, but the cost of the
- 11 ability to perform at the racetrack.
- 12 In addition, we were concerned, as with
- 13 astronauts going into space, we're concerned about bone
- 14 loss. If you don't use it, you lose it. Those horses
- 15 are all the sudden laid up, inactive, they come back to
- training, are they at increased risk for injury.
- In fact, we did find that for some fractures,
- 18 not all. We have huge -- these numbers are our relative
- 19 risks, there's a huge increase in risk of horses coming
- 20 back into training for having a complete humeral
- 21 fracture or worse for horses who sustained a humeral
- fracture having recently come back into training. Not
- only do we end up getting horses with a mild injury and
- once they have a mild injury and forced to lay up, then
- they have additional risk when they come back to work.

1 Another factor that alters the amount of damage

- that occurs, and our schematic here is limb geometry,
- 3 this one example here, these are mechanical testing
- 4 systems. In a horse normally standing, the fetlock is
- 5 in this attitude. In a horse that's racing with a
- 6 racing force on the limb, this is the attitude of the
- 7 fetlock; and there are ligaments and bones on the back
- 8 of this that help sustain and prevent injury. Those are
- 9 the ligaments that are most commonly injured in our
- 10 program. We call it the suspensor apparatus, consisting
- 11 of ligaments that approximate with the sesamoid bone and
- 12 additional distal ligaments.
- This is one of the reasons that we got into
- 14 looking at mechanics of the hoof relative to hoof
- 15 conformation because schematically the fetlock is just a
- 16 lever. The weight of the body is coming down to the
- 17 fetlock joint right here. The tendons have to
- 18 counteract that, and the amount of force that the
- 19 tendons have to sustain is relative to the length of the
- 20 lever arm to the hoof. So that if we have hooves that
- 21 are long toes, underrun heels or if we have horseshoes
- 22 with alliances that change the angle of the hoof, we can
- 23 markedly change the amount of load that these structures
- sustain, and the fetlocks can break and may be become
- 25 injured.

This is part of the impetus for looking at toe

- 2 grabs on the front of the horseshoes, and other
- 3 horseshoe characteristics are not focused on as much,
- 4 such as a rim around the entire board of the shoe as
- 5 well as the toe grab just on the front.
- 6 One particular study of postmortem horses, this
- 7 is a study of dead racehorses only, not of live
- 8 racehorses, and increased risk with a toe grab with any
- 9 catastrophic musculoskeletal injury, if we look at
- 10 specifically supporting structure of the fetlock joint
- 11 suspensor apparatus with a regular toe grab, we markedly
- 12 increase, at least in that study, the odds for having
- 13 that particular injury.
- 14 It's important to also recognize that there are
- 15 some horseshoe characteristics that may decrease the
- 16 risk for fatal injury, particularly the rims that showed
- 17 previously on that slide.
- 18 We're currently, as a follow-up to that we were
- 19 interested in looking at live racehorses to find out at
- least help ensure ourselves that these things were
- 21 holding at the racetrack and in particular to find out
- 22 whether having a mild injury did in fact progress to a
- 23 more severe injury.
- In this particular study, we found that appears
- 25 to be true. Horses with a mild suspensor apparatus

- 1 injury that continue to race and train do in fact fall
- out, so that after three months, only 60 percent of
- 3 those horses remain in training; whereas horses that
- 4 don't have that injury, 90 percent are still in
- 5 training. So if we pay attention to modulary injuries
- 6 in training, we may see fewer horses in the postmortem
- 7 program. I'm sure we'd all be happy to see that.
- 8 Additional information from the study but not
- 9 statistically significant, partly potentially for a
- 10 number of reasons, but it tended to support the fact
- 11 that toe grabs increase risk as does higher intensity
- 12 training.
- Currently, we're continuing to use both
- information and specimens from the postmortem program.
- 15 And also because of the interesting findings in the
- 16 first study relative to horseshoe characterization and
- toe grabs, a need to study them in more death. So we're
- 18 following that up with a toe grab study.
- 19 I would like to emphasize that this postmortem
- 20 program certainly without question is the best in the
- 21 country, without question was the first and seminal
- 22 program. Through it we've discovered many new
- 23 disorders. We've certainly enhanced our knowledge about
- the disorders so we can begin to intervene and design
- 25 prevention strategies, and it continues to be a

1 mechanism where we continue to survey a surveillance

- 2 mechanism for monitoring injury and disease outbreaks.
- 3 So its continued existence is absolutely
- 4 critical. We have many, many more things to look into
- 5 in the program, but it's particular critical for
- 6 surveillance of what's going on, discovery of still
- 7 additional disorders and accumulation of new knowledge
- 8 to help us further our prevention and treatment of
- 9 disorders.
- 10 I would like to give a plug indicating I don't
- 11 think we can afford to stand on our laurels. We have
- 12 many, I shouldn't say many, but several states across
- 13 the country who are following up on your lead and
- 14 establishing postmortem programs at least to some
- degree, but one of the things that we'll never be able
- 16 to tell you is whether or not the number of injuries is
- 17 reducing, and the reason we can't tell you that is we
- 18 don't know information in our underlying population. We
- don't know whether those 262 deaths were out of 7,000
- 20 horses, whether they were out of 12,000 horses, so we
- 21 can never find out whether the rate is going to be lower
- 22 or smaller. So we can tell you what's happening, we can
- tell you what we see, but we cannot monitor progress.
- 24 Another reason to gain information on the
- 25 population is to monitor horse movement, particularly as

- 1 it's associated with disease transmission. Perhaps one
- of the most critical things currently would be the
- 3 movement of West Nile virus. Think of how we could
- 4 monitor the movement of horses on racetracks and
- 5 actually see where the disease was moving from one spot
- 6 to another and allow us to actually prevent disease
- 7 transmission on a population basis. The power that this
- 8 sort of thing can give us in addition to what we have at
- 9 the postmortem program would really be phenomenal. So,
- 10 food for thought.
- 11 I would like to acknowledge those associations
- 12 and foundations that have funded the research that has
- 13 spawned off of the postmortem program, and those are
- 14 listed here. Thank you very much for your attention.
- 15 (Applause.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you very, very much.
- 17 I could go on listening to this for a much longer time
- 18 knowing that my horses and knowing why is an important
- 19 part of what education should be about. I thank you for
- 20 this and anyone else within earshot that has worked with
- 21 and had horses or vets.
- DR. ARDANS: Thank you. I'd just like to
- 23 extend an invitation when the board goes into executive
- 24 session, if there is anyone in the audience that would
- like a tour of the facility, please let us know and

- we'll be happy to extend that.
- 2 Following the executive session will be a light
- 3 lunch if anybody would like to join us.
- 4 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you for both, and I
- 5 would recommend that tour. It's eye opening and
- 6 stunning to those, at least to me who has never been
- 7 here before. Thank you again.
- 8 Can we move on now with our -- in light of some
- 9 of the information that just came across on the
- 10 presentation, we should move to item 12 in our agenda,
- 11 which is a report and update on the toe grab study
- 12 conducted by the University of California Davis Center
- 13 for Equine Health. John? Your report -- I'm sorry.
- 14 DR. STOVER: Susan Stover from University of
- 15 California at Davis. Sorry. I blanked out there for a
- 16 moment.
- 17 Because of the findings of the earlier study
- 18 relative to toe grabs and apparent increased risk for
- 19 fatal musculoskeletal injury as well suspensor apparatus
- 20 failure, we felt the need as well as the industry to
- 21 gather more information.
- 22 The first study was done only on horses that
- 23 had died, and it is difficult to directly extrapolate
- 24 the findings of the study to the entire racehorse
- 25 population, which is a living population of horses which

1 may share characteristics but may be different enough

- 2 that they may not follow the same trend.
- 3 So for these reasons we, in conjunction with
- 4 the industry and Center for Equine Health, initiated a
- 5 study looking at following horseshoe characteristics of
- 6 all horses that race at major Southern and Northern
- 7 California racetracks. The intent is to compare the
- 8 findings from what characteristics were on the horseshoe
- 9 with whether or not the horse ended up with a
- 10 catastrophic musculoskeletal injury as well as whether
- 11 it had layups during the course of its racing career.
- 12 The study, the pilot portion of the study was
- initiated in the middle of June of 2000. During the
- 14 pilot portion of any study, you find out things that
- 15 you'd like to change. And so we made revisions to the
- 16 study, and so the study officially started in October of
- 17 2000 in which the methodology was defined, we had a
- 18 observers that were trained and on board and collecting
- 19 data in the way we desired.
- 20 We currently have just completed the first year
- of data collection. We have data on about 7,000 horses,
- 4,000 races. This is roughly equivalent to our
- observations on horseshoes and their characteristics.
- It includes not only toe grabs, but heel tracks devices,
- toes, rim and other factors about horseshoes.

```
1 The study plans to go through October 2002 for
```

- 2 data collection. The reason for that is that the goals
- 3 extend beyond just looking at whether toe grabs affect
- 4 the risk of injury and risk of layup, but also seeing if
- 5 there are regional differences between Southern and
- 6 Northern California and being able to look at other
- factors simultaneously, such as racetrack surface, such
- 8 as training history, so that we help ensure ourselves at
- 9 the end of the study that what we're studying with the
- 10 relationship of toe grabs as an example of horseshoe
- 11 characteristic and these outcomes is in fact related to
- 12 the fact that the horse has a toe grab on but not
- 13 related to what we call a confounder, such as the fact
- 14 that those horses with toe grabs on come into higher
- level of training at the time when toe grabs are first
- 16 put on them. So we like to look at a number of factors
- 17 simultaneously. So with that in mind, the end of the
- 18 study where data collection is anticipated or planned to
- 19 be is October of 2002.
- Now, we're unable to look at the data and
- 21 evaluate until we have racing records on the horses. We
- 22 can't obtain racing records until October of 2002
- 23 because we don't have the full racing history, and the
- amount of records we'll be looking for is financially
- 25 not feasible for us to request more than one point in

- 1 time. So it will take approximately six months to a
- 2 year to analyze the data after the end of the data
- 3 collection period, and that's simply because we don't
- 4 have all the data we need even though we have data on
- 5 horseshoe characteristics.
- 6 I think it's also important to realize that
- 7 once we have the data we can provide some summary of the
- 8 data, but it's important that we publish the results of
- 9 these studies so that they're available in the future
- and to all people; and if we report data in specific
- details before they're published, that would preclude
- 12 publication. Our journals will not publish the
- 13 information. So sometimes that process takes anywhere
- 14 from six to twelve months.
- 15 I'm not trying to purposefully delay because I
- 16 recognize that this is a very important study, at least
- 17 it is to us, but I think it's important that you
- 18 understand the process and we feel that it's very
- important that the study be performed at the highest
- 20 scientific methodology so that the results are beyond
- 21 question.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Thank you. Comment?
- 23 COMMISSIONER MORETTI: Thank you very much,
- Dr. Stover. This was very much of an eye opener. I've
- 25 had the privilege of being here on a number of

- occasions, but I had never seen the postmortem data,
- it's very, very interesting. You did a fabulous job.
- 3 I thought maybe for the benefit of the rest of
- 4 the board members I would share a little history of how
- 5 we got started on looking at the potential to ban toe
- 6 grabs in the state. A couple years ago, I guess it was
- 7 at the end of the 1999, and you're saying actually the
- 8 study began in June of 2000, I believe you just said, we
- 9 thought about banning and we had a Medication Committee
- meeting, and at that committee we had some very
- 11 interesting and intense discussions actually about
- 12 whether or not we had the data to turn around and
- 13 prohibit the use of toe grabs here in California.
- 14 What we came up with was that we had -- there
- was a lot of hearsay, but there wasn't any direct
- 16 concrete data that would scientifically support the
- 17 banning of toe grabs, and there was no other region or
- 18 jurisdiction that had the data that we could turn to to
- 19 rely on.
- 20 So that's when we asked for U. C. Davis to look
- 21 it. U. C. wanted to do it because, obviously, they have
- the scientists here who are capable of doing that, to
- 23 put the program together; and I was actually surprised
- 24 to see that on our agenda was the discussion action by
- 25 the board to potentially prohibit the use of toe grabs

- when the study hasn't yet completed.
- 2 It does look obviously that the data is
- 3 pointing that toe grabs are not the best thing for
- 4 horses, certainly not the level at which they're being
- 5 used at the moment, but I'm concerned that if we turned
- 6 around and did something to ban them today we'd be doing
- 7 a disservice not only to U. C. Davis, but actually to
- 8 other regions that would be looking to us for the same
- 9 thing that we were looking for a year or so ago when we
- 10 were looking for scientific data. Without the data
- 11 confirmed, other jurisdictions will just say, well, if
- 12 California banned, but with the data confirmed and the
- 13 study in hand, I think that we would be doing much more
- 14 of a service to others. I just wanted to share that,
- that was our rationale before when we did it.
- 16 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I think that rationale
- 17 made sense at that time. I think my purpose to put it
- 18 on the agenda was to get this discussion started. It
- 19 takes us so long to make a rule. My intent was not to
- 20 jeopardize the study if they feel that making a rule
- 21 while the study is going on would be a bad thing to do.
- 22 I think we are custodians of these horses and all the
- 23 data accumulated thus far shows that there is detriments
- 24 to these front toe grabs and we're losing horses every
- 25 week probably as a result of having them. The problem

- is you can't just say, well, I won't have toe grabs
- because it may give an advantage and my horse may
- 3 perform slightly better with toe grabs even though it
- 4 exposes them to more injury.
- 5 So my intent was to get the discussion started
- 6 because it takes us, as you know, forever to get these
- 7 rules done and to get it going. Even in this rule I
- 8 think it would not go into effect until the beginning of
- 9 the Del Mar meet.
- 10 I quess what we could do is delay the rule. It
- 11 would have to come back to the board anyway and we could
- 12 delay the implementation further than that.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: If we began publication
- 14 here and know that we could hold off it becoming a rule,
- 15 will that interfere with your study? One of the
- 16 questions I have is if we begin beating the drum for
- doing away with toe grabs or prohibiting toe grabs,
- 18 would that affect your study, your ongoing study?
- 19 MR. FERRARO: Greg Ferraro, director of Center
- 20 for Equine Health. There are two factors we need to
- 21 consider. One is our observation would go through
- October. So if there was a rule change before the
- observation period was over, that would have an obvious
- 24 effect on it.
- 25 The other thing which is maybe more subtle is

- 1 that there are already a significant portion of trainers
- who have made adjustments to horseshoes. So at this
- 3 point we're fortunate in some ways to be able to compare
- 4 no toe grab shoes to toe grab shoes. There is a
- 5 significant portion of trainers that are racing rims.
- 6 If there was a change or talked about change in
- 7 the interim between now and the end of the observation
- 8 period, it may force people to make further adjustments
- 9 that might have the effect of skewing the results. I
- 10 can't say for sure, but that's a concern that we have.
- 11 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I have a problem about the
- 12 trainers' right to do -- make the decision, the trainer
- and the owner, with respect to what shoes he's going to
- 14 use. From what Dr. Stover, and correct me if I'm wrong,
- 15 it's not conclusive yet because the horses that tend to
- 16 have toe grabs are further along in their training
- 17 period and the ones that are further along in their
- 18 training period tend to be more susceptible to suspensor
- injury as well; is that right?
- 20 MR. FERRARO: In 1999, in connection with the
- 21 TOC and Medication Committee and the CTT board, we
- 22 discussed this, we felt from the university's point of
- 23 view that we did not have conclusive evidence that we
- 24 could assure that it was the toe grab and nothing else.
- 25 We felt that there was indications that the toe grab

- were a serious problem, but we didn't feel that we had
- 2 sufficient evidence to rule out anything else, and that
- 3 was the impetus to start this study, feeling that if we
- 4 could do the study right, do it over a long enough
- 5 period of time -- and this is a difficult study to
- 6 perform. I mean just the mechanics of having observers
- 7 accumulating the data, tabulating the data, acquiring
- 8 the race records, this is a very time-consuming and
- 9 expensive study. We felt that if we could complete and
- 10 do it right that the evidence at the end of that should
- 11 be conclusive enough for industry to make a decision
- 12 based on fact and not on supposition.
- 13 The university's position in this is that we do
- 14 not have a recommendation or an agenda to push. We are
- only accumulating the facts in the most scientific way
- 16 we can. It's up to the industry to decide what they
- 17 want to do. I want to make that perfectly clear.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Given the importance of
- 19 having the study and understanding Dr. Stover's point
- 20 that even at the end of the study period, that is
- October, there is still a considerable amount of time
- 22 needed to prepare the information for publication and
- that we would not be serving anybody's, in terms of the
- study, serving anybody's good by delaying this only to
- 25 October, we would not have the publishable findings or

- 1 the findings of this study without destroying its
- 2 efficacy for publication.
- 3 MR. FERRARO: This is sort of a Catch-22 for
- 4 us. We understand that you want the information as
- 5 rapidly as you can get it, but the problem is that the
- 6 information would hold no validity in terms of the
- 7 scientific community, it would not stand up to scrutiny
- 8 if it is not published in a peer review journal and that
- 9 takes time to do that.
- 10 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Approximately a year. Am
- 11 I right in that?
- DR. STOVER: Approximately.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: A hip guess, a shooting
- 14 from the hip guess. All right. Thank you.
- John, you had a comment.
- MR. VANDEKAMP: Yes, John Vandekamp TOC. I
- 17 shared John Harris', I think, concern about the use of
- toe grabs and I went to the, was it '99 or 2000
- 19 Medication Committee meeting Commissioner Moretti talked
- about, and there are some violent views held with
- 21 respect to the trainers. Bob Baffert, I remember, came
- 22 and was extraordinarily strong about how important it
- 23 was for the safety of his horse to use toe grabs. And I
- think it became clear to all of us, most of us there
- anyway, that this was a persuasive job needed to be

- done. To the extent possible we needed to bring
- 2 trainers to the point that I think that you'd like to
- 3 bring them where toe grabs were either eliminated or at
- 4 least you get to the lower toe grabs, which may be
- 5 something that you need to take a look at in terms of
- 6 the recommendations that come out of this; and I find
- 7 that more and more trainers today are moving away from
- 8 the high toe grabs to rim shoes or the low toe grabs,
- 9 and I hope that trend continues, but I think we need to
- 10 finish the research.
- 11 And I would suggest that as again an attempt to
- 12 keep this issue visible and get there kind of
- information that we saw today out there that we schedule
- 14 the Medication Committee in the near future at one of
- 15 the major racetracks, either Hollywood or Santa Anita,
- 16 where you're apt to get a lot of trainers to come to
- 17 hear this kind of evidence, understand where we're going
- with this so there can be status reports on this
- 19 research so that to the extent possible it's not the
- 20 board issuing a mandate that's going to be divisive, but
- 21 letting people know that you're going about it the right
- 22 way and getting them to realize that they're operating
- 23 the best interest of their horse by changing their
- 24 pattern.
- 25 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: A certain amount of

- 1 goodwill inherent in that which may or may not be extant
- 2 in this business.
- 3 MR. DOUGHERTY: Charlie Dougherty, California
- 4 Thoroughbred Trainers.
- 5 First of all, I would like to acknowledge the
- 6 efforts of Davis. California thoroughbred trainers have
- 7 been very active in the coordination with Dr. Ferraro
- 8 and Stover on a day-to-day basis with the observers, and
- 9 I think people are very aware of the study and are
- 10 anxiously awaiting the results.
- 11 We, too, would like to see the study go through
- 12 to conclusion and the findings, and I can tell you if
- the findings, you know, are indeed that racehorses are
- 14 catastrophically impaired with the toe grabs as they
- are, our association is 100 percent behind the ban of
- 16 them. But we would like to see the study go to its
- 17 conclusion.
- 18 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Does anyone have any
- 19 thesis that toe grabs help? I mean it seems like
- 20 there's a first do no harm theory of medicine. It's
- 21 bothersome to me we've got some evidence they're doing
- damage, evidence they're doing harm, and yet there is
- 23 this big fervor that we can't ban them.
- MR. VANDEKAMP: Commissioner, one of the
- 25 arguments that was made, I'm not speaking on the

- veracity of it, but I think Bob Baffert and I think
- 2 Wayne Lucas was at that meeting that day, too, were
- 3 talking about the potential sliding around as a result
- 4 of not having the toe grabs. It gave traction that was
- 5 important.
- 6 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I think we should
- 7 encourage Mr. Baffert and Mr. Lucas to encourage some
- 8 sort of study that proves that. On the one hand, we've
- 9 got some studies that maybe not concluded it's
- 10 bothersome. With all these people coming up with
- 11 theory, they need to come up with some hard studies on
- 12 the other side. I don't think we're going to conclude
- this today anyway, but if the trainers really have
- 14 problems with that, I want them to come to some
- 15 educational institution and try to get some studies
- 16 showing what they believe.
- MR. DOUGHERTY: I believe that's what we're
- 18 doing with U. C. Davis right now.
- 19 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: It's sort of going the
- 20 wrong way for you. I mean -- the studies don't seem to
- 21 be verifying that. If their thesis is that the -- I'm
- 22 concerned that regardless how many studies we do that
- 23 certain trainers such as Afford or Lucas still feel that
- 24 way. Are you involving them in these studies that feel
- that, you know, have input in the way you're doing it?

```
1 MR. DOUGHERTY: Well, John, first of all, no
```

- 2 results have been released from the current study, as
- 3 they indicated, but the concern of the previous studies,
- 4 if the horses that were being studied were only through
- 5 the postmortem, they were basically the dead horses; and
- 6 by looking at a day-to-day base, racetrack-to-racetrack
- 7 condition, they're getting a feel of the running horses
- 8 and then we'll truly have a complete gauge as to what
- 9 the effect of the toe grabs are.
- 10 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Are the trainers that
- 11 have basically disputed the initial study, has CTT
- involved them in looking at the protocol in this study?
- 13 MR. DOUGHERTY: Well, they see it day to day
- 14 what's going on. As your horse comes to the receiving
- 15 barn, the observer is right there. Every person on the
- 16 track is aware the study's going.
- 17 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Anyway, I think it's
- 18 important that they buy in. If there is some aspect of
- 19 it that they dispute, that they, you know, get it on the
- 20 table now.
- 21 MR. DOUGHERTY: And I wholeheartedly agree. As
- 22 I indicated earlier, if the results come back to show
- that toe grabs are indeed, you know, to the running
- 24 horse to day-to-day catastrophic, our association is 100
- 25 percent behind the issue of the ban then.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Can you help somewhat in
- 2 doing two things? One is to promulgate a questionnaire,
- a brief questionnaire to your trainers saying do you use
- 4 them, have you used them, why do you use them and would
- 5 you want to continue using them? Just because that
- 6 brings the focus. If you just have them fill out a
- 7 blank, it brings the focus back to toe grabs as a
- 8 potential problem area. I'm just asking whether you can
- 9 promulgate such a questionnaire.
- 10 MR. DOUGHERTY: I will put forth your request
- 11 to our board within the next 10 days and I don't see any
- 12 harm.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: I think it just brings
- 14 more attention to it. As the same instance, given the
- 15 discussion here, John, I'd like to propose that we table
- 16 this for future consideration and attempt to get to it
- by April or May so that we can have the beginnings that
- 18 takes for a rule becoming being. We can always hold it
- 19 off at a given moment, but I'd like for this moment move
- that we table the question.
- 21 COMMISSIONER HARRIS: I'll second that. I
- 22 think right today we don't want to move forward. I'm
- 23 concerned we're never going to please all the people.
- 24 We need at some point -- I think every day we're losing
- 25 horses and that we need to move forward, but I don't

- 1 have a problem with tabling for a while.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: All in favor of the motion
- 3 that was made and seconded to table this until midspring
- 4 or late spring?
- 5 (Ayes.)
- 6 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Opposed?
- 7 Then the motion is carried.
- 8 We now move on to staff reports on concluded
- 9 race meets.
- 10 MR. REAGAN: Commissioner, John Reagan. This
- is the standard end of meet reports for these two meets,
- 12 interesting observation, they're kind of on the opposite
- 13 ends of the spectrum, Oak Tree versus Fresno, yet both
- 14 of them had modest increases in the handle and likewise
- 15 decreases in the attendance. So we'll keep an eye on it
- 16 and see what it looks like. If you have any questions,
- 17 I'll be happy to answer them.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Are there any questions
- 19 concerning year-end reports?
- 20 COMMISSIONER LICHT: I just have a brief
- 21 comment on the Fresno fair. I attended the fair one day
- 22 as a guest of John Harris. I was very impressed by the
- 23 crowd, the makeup of the crowd and generally the way the
- 24 crowd was taken care of at that fair, and I would think
- that that could be a model for some of other tracks on

- 1 encouraging younger, more affluent people to come to the
- 2 racetrack.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: If there is no more
- 4 discussion, we will move on.
- 5 Commissioner Bianco, do you have a report on
- 6 the Stewards' Committee?
- 7 COMMISSION BIANCO: Yes, we had a meeting with
- 8 15 out of the 17 stewards that are under contract down
- 9 in Los Angeles. It was the first meeting in a couple
- 10 years and we just, Sheryl and myself just really wanted
- 11 to get acquainted with the people, you know, to see, you
- 12 know, what problems. We didn't have an agenda of items
- 13 that we're going through with them, and I felt it was a
- 14 good meeting, but it was just get to know each other and
- 15 try to build up their morale and see what type of
- 16 problems that they were facing and things that we could
- 17 fix. With that, usually you give, you know, two
- 18 Italians a microphone, they want to sing, but we're
- 19 running late. Thank you.
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: Sheryl, do you want to
- 22 sing or add something?
- 23 COMMISSIONER GRANZELLA: No, it was a good
- 24 meeting. You wouldn't want to hear me sing.
- 25 (Laughter.)

1	CHAIRMAN LANDSBURG: We will look forward to
2	what action may be needed by the board to help the
3	stewards in your further meetings. Thank you.
4	On to the point of general business. Is there
5	any requests or communications for future action of the
6	board?
7	Old business then? No old business to attend
8	to. In which case this part of this meeting is now
9	adjourned. We will now go into executive session and
10	following executive session we will finish this meeting
11	formally.
12	(The meeting was adjourned to
13	Executive Session at 1:30 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER					
2						
3	I, WENDY E. ARLEN, hereby certify that I am a					
4	Certified Shorthand Reporter; that I reported in					
5	shorthand writing the foregoing matter at the time and					
6	place therein stated; that the foregoing pages are a					
7	full, true and complete transcript of my said shorthand					
8	notes and is a full, true and correct record of the					
9	proceedings had in said matter at said time and place.					
10						
11						
12	Dated:					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18	WENDY E. ARLEN					
19	Certified Shorthand Reporter					
20	California License #4355					
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						